



making little sense at all, have not cited a clear error of law, newly discovered evidence, or an intervening change in controlling law upon which the Court may alter its judgment. They have failed to show manifest injustice.

Rule 60(b) requires a showing of “exceptional or extraordinary circumstances.” *Horton v. Sheets*, No. 2012 WL 3777431 (S.D. Ohio Aug. 30, 2012) (citing *Taylor v. Streicher*, 469 Fed. App’x 467, 468 (6th Cir. 2012)). There are no exception or extraordinary circumstances justifying relief from the Court’s judgment.

Plaintiffs state, “Your honor and defendants kinship seems to be showing again.” (Doc #: 69 at 5.) The Court reminds Plaintiffs, who are representing themselves, that it denied Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss five of their claims. (Doc #: 30.)

## **II.**

For these reasons, Plaintiffs’ Motion (**Doc #: 69**) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Dan A. Polster February 26, 2018  
**Dan Aaron Polster**  
**United States District Judge**