

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

-----		
CANDACE SPENCER-DEY,	:	CASE NO. 1:16-CV-2912
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
vs.	:	OPINION & ORDER
	:	
MICHAEL KILBANE,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	
-----		

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Seeking to proceed *in forma pauperis, pro se* plaintiff Candace Spencer-Dey, a frequent filer in this district, has filed a “Complaint for Replevin” against Michael Kilbane, the Police Chief of the City of Independence. (Doc. No. 1.) The plaintiff’s complaint, and the “Affidavit of Verified Complaint” she has submitted with it, are unclear. On its face, the complaint pertains to property the plaintiff identifies as “Security agreements and other contractual agreements between private parties.” The plaintiff contends this property was wrongfully taken from her home pursuant to a fraudulent search warrant. She contends the property is worth “\$10.7m” and seeks an order requiring the defendant to return the property to her in mint condition or pay her “\$10.7m” for conversion.

Although *pro se* pleadings are liberally construed and held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, *Williams v. Curtin*, 631 F.3d 380, 383 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2011) 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), *pro se* litigants must still meet basic pleading requirements, and a court is not required to conjure allegations on their behalf. *See Erwin v. Edwards*, 22 Fed. App’x 579, 580 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001). Federal district courts are required to screen and dismiss before

service any *in forma pauperis* action that the court determines is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B); *Hill v. Lappin*, 630 F.3d 468, 470-71 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010). To survive dismissal for failure to state a claim, a *pro se* complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state claim to relief that is plausible on its face. *Hill*, 630 F.3d at 471 (holding that the dismissal standards articulated in *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662 (2009) and *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007) govern dismissals for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. §1915(e)(2)(B)). “A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678.

The plaintiff’s motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No. 2) is granted, but upon review, the Court finds the complaint must be dismissed pursuant to §1915(e)(2)(B).

Although the complaint references the Fourth Amendment, the plaintiff’s allegations regarding her property, and the circumstances under which it was taken, are vague and conclusory, and the plaintiff does not allege any involvement of defendant Kilbane or identify any specific falsehoods underlying the search warrant. *See, e.g., Meeks v. Larsen*, 611 F. App’x 277, 283-85 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2015) (an individual challenging a warrant affidavit may not rely on conclusory allegations, but must point to specific material falsehoods and set forth supporting allegations). The plaintiff’s complaint, even liberally construed, is insufficient to allege a plausible Fourth Amendment, or any other federal claim against defendant Kilbane.

Further, to the extent the plaintiff’s complaint could be construed as alleging state-law claims against defendant Kilbane for conversion or replevin, the Court must dismiss the action.

The Court lacks federal diversity jurisdiction over any state-law claims as the plaintiff's pleading indicates she and the defendant are citizens of the same state.

**Conclusion**

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth above, this action is dismissed on initial review pursuant to §1915(e)(2)(B). The Court further certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2016

*s/ James S. Gwin* \_\_\_\_\_

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE