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Before the Court is the report and recommendation ("R\&R") of the magistrate judge in the above-entitled action. (Doc. No. 15.) Under the relevant statute:
[. . .] Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. $\S 636(\mathrm{~b})(1)(\mathrm{C})$. In this case, the fourteen-day period has elapsed and no objections have been filed. Further, defendant has filed a response indicating that she does not intend to file objections to the R\&R. (Doc. No. 16.) The failure to file written objections to a magistrate judge's R\&R constitutes a waiver of a de novo determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff 'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); see United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the magistrate judge's R\&R and adopts the same. Accordingly, the final decision of the Commissioner is vacated and the case is remanded to the Commissioner, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § $405(\mathrm{~g})$, for further proceedings consistent with the R\&R.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 25, 2018


