UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO		
BOBBY Q. FORD,	:	CASE NO. 1:17-cv-1694
Plaintiff,	:	
VS.	· : :	OPINION & ORDER [Resolving Doc. 1]
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	:	
SECURITY,	:	
Defendant.	:	
	:	

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On June 20, 2017, Plaintiff Lavelle Woodall filed a complaint seeking judicial review of Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's decision to deny his application for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income.<sup>1</sup> The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge George J. Limbert.

On July 20, 2018, Magistrate Judge Limbert issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that this Court affirm the Commissioner's final decision.<sup>2</sup> Objections to that R&R were due by August 3, 2018. Plaintiff Ford filed no objections.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a de novo review only of those portions of an R&R to which the parties have made an objection.<sup>3</sup> Failure to timely object waives a party's right to appeal the Magistrate Judge's R&R.<sup>4</sup> So, where a party does not object to the R&R, a district court may adopt it without review.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Doc. 16 at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149–50.

Case No. 1:17-cv-1694 Gwin, J.

Accordingly, in light of Plaintiff Ford's decision not to object to the R&R in this case, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Limbert's R&R, incorporates it as if fully restated herein, and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner's denial of benefits.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 6, 2018

<u>s/</u>James S. Gwin JAMES S. GWIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE