

(1:17CV2072)

tactics to defeat removal and secure a state forum, such as simply by deleting all federal-law claims from the complaint and requesting that the district court remand the case.

[*Harper v. AutoAlliance Int'l, Inc.*, 392 F.3d 195, 211 \(6th Cir. 2004\)](#) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted); *see also* [*Gamel v. City of Cincinnati*, 625 F.3d 949, 952 \(6th Cir. 2010\)](#).

(“When all federal claims are dismissed before trial, the balance of considerations usually will point to dismissing the state law claims, or remanding them to state court if the action was removed.”) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

The Court finds that, balancing the interests described above, needlessly deciding state law issues weighs most heavily against the exercise of jurisdiction. Plaintiff’s complaint ([ECF No. 1-2](#)) alleges one state law claim against Defendant, and Defendant’s counterclaim ([ECF No. 4-1](#)) alleges one state law claim. The Court finds that Crawford County Municipal Court can capably adjudicate both of these claims. As this case is in its early stages, the exercise of supplemental jurisdiction is not necessary to avoid the multiplicity of litigation. The Court does not discern any manipulative tactics on Plaintiff’s part to defeat jurisdiction.

Therefore, the Court, in its discretion, declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claim and counterclaim. These state law claims, therefore, are dismissed without prejudice. *See* [28 U.S.C. § 1367\(c\)\(3\)](#) (“The district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over a claim . . . [if it] has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction.”); [*Holson v. Good*, 579 F.App’x 363 \(6th Cir. 2014\)](#) (holding that it is within district court’s discretion to remand state law claims after all it has dismissed all federal

(1:17CV2072)

law claims). Accordingly, it is appropriate for the Court to remand the case to the Crawford County, Ohio Municipal Court.

A separate Order of Remand will issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 15, 2017
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge