

Case No. 1:17-CV-2455
Gwin, J.

The Court also notes that Loper is in a better position to obtain counsel because her claim under Title VI⁵ contains a fee-shifting provision.⁶ Should Loper prevail on her Title VI claim, her attorney may be awarded his or her reasonable fees.⁷ The Court therefore sees no exceptional circumstances warranting appointment of counsel.

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 14, 2018

s/ _____ James S. Gwin
JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

⁵ [42 U.S.C. § 2000d](#).

⁶ See [42 U.S.C. § 1988\(b\)](#).

⁷ Id.