



(1:17CV2663)

magistrate judge also found that the ALJ failed to show why Defendant did not meet Listing 1.04(B). [Id. at PageID #: 1941-42.](#)

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. Objections to the magistrate judge's Report were, therefore, due on October 9, 2018. On October 9, 2018, Defendant filed a Response to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommended Decision, stating that it will not be filing objections. [ECF No. 18.](#) Furthermore, Plaintiff has not filed any objections, evidencing satisfaction with the magistrate judge's recommendations. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. [Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 \(6th Cir. 1984\), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 \(1985\); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 \(6th Cir. 1991\); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 \(6th Cir. 1981\).](#)

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge is hereby adopted. The Court vacates the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security and remands this case to the Commissioner for rehearing and a new decision.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 9, 2018  
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson  
Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge