Bailey v. Commissioner of Social Security Administration Doc. 17

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES BAILEY,	)	CASE NO. 1:18CV2790
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
v.	)	
	)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	)	**MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND ORDER**
	)	
Defendant.	)	

An Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") denied Plaintiff James Bailey's application for Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") after a hearing in the above-captioned case. That decision became the final determination of the Commissioner of Social Security when the Appeals Council denied the request to review the ALJ's decision. Plaintiff sought judicial review of the Commissioner's decision, and the Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Kathleen B. Burke for preparation of a report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 72.2(b)(1). On November 5, 2019, the magistrate judge submitted a Report (ECF No. 16) recommending that the Court affirm the Commissioner's decision.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. To date, neither party has filed objections. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Howard v. Secretary of Health*

Dockets.Justia.com

and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate judge is hereby adopted. The decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is affirmed. Judgment will be entered in favor of Defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 11, 2019
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge