

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM EPHRAIM,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)
SECURITY,)

Defendant.)

CASE NO. 1:20CV633

JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON

**MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND
ORDER**

[Resolving [ECF No. 13](#)]

An Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) denied Plaintiff William Ephraim’s application for supplemental security income benefits (“SSI”) after a hearing in the above-captioned case. That decision became the final determination of the Commissioner of Social Security when the Appeals Council denied the request to review the ALJ’s decision. The claimant sought judicial review of the Commissioner’s decision.¹ On January 8, 2021, the magistrate judge submitted a Report & Recommendation ([ECF No. 16](#)) recommending that the Court affirm the Commissioner’s decision.

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within 14 days after service. Objections to the magistrate judge’s Report were, therefore, due on January 22, 2021. Neither party has filed objections, evidencing satisfaction with the magistrate judge’s recommendations. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative

¹ The Court referred the case to Magistrate Judge Jonathan D. Greenberg for preparation of a report and recommendation pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636](#) and [Local Rule 72.2\(b\)\(1\)](#).

(4:19CV1414)

and inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. *Thomas v. Arn*, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Report & Recommendation of the magistrate judge is hereby adopted. The decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is affirmed. Judgment will be entered in favor of Defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

February 1, 2021
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge