Harris v. Wainwright Doc. 13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

LOWELL HARRIS,)	CASE NO. 1:21-CV-00860
Petitioner,)	JUDGE CHARLES ESQUE FLEMING
vs.)	MAGISTRATE JUDGE JAMES E. GRIMES, JR.
WARDEN LYNEAL WAINWRIGHT,)	,
Respondent.)	OPINION AND ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On April 26, 2021, Petitioner Lowell Harris ("Petitioner") filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition"), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (ECF No. 1). On December 8, 2023, Magistrate Judge James E. Grimes, Jr. filed a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that the Court deny and dismiss the Petition. (ECF No. 12).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2) provides that the parties may object to a Magistrate Judge's R&R within 14 days after service. The R&R also gave the parties notice of the 14-day time limit for filing objections. (ECF No. 12, PageID# 1342). This Court gave Petitioner significantly longer—over 45 days—to object to the R&R, but as of the date of this Order, no objections have been filed.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act, a district court must conduct a *de novo* review of those portions of the R&R to which the parties have objected. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). Absent objection, a district court may adopt a R&R without further review. *See Peretz v. United States*, 501 U.S. 923, 939 (1991); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 141-42 (1985).

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Grimes's R&R, incorporates it fully herein by reference, and **DENIES** and **DISMISSES** the Petition. The Court also **CERTIFIES**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision cannot be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 24, 2024

CHARLES ESQUE FLEMING UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Charles Fleming