UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISON

Michael P. Skizenta,) CASE NO. 1:23 CV 1407
Plaintiff,) JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
vs.	
Commissioner of Social Security,)) Memorandum of Opinion and Order
Defendant.))

INTRODUCTION

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of Magistrate Judge Jonathan D. Greenberg (Doc. 13), recommending that the Commissioner's final decision be AFFIRMED. No objections have been filed. For the reasons that follow, the R&R is ACCEPTED. The decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

When objections are made to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court reviews the case *de novo*. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(3) provides that: "The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to." As stated in the Advisory Committee Notes, "When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation." In Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985), the Court held that "[i]t does not

appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate judge's factual or legal

conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings."

DECISION

This Court, having reviewed the R&R and finding no clear error, hereby ACCEPTS the

Magistrate Judge's R&R. In accordance with that recommendation, the Court hereby AFFIRMS the

decision of the Commissioner for the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge in the R&R, which is

incorporated herein by reference.

Dated: 4/16/24

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

2