PEARSON, J.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JEAN SZABO,	) $(ASENO 1.22 \text{ CV} 1.477)$
Plaintiff,	) CASE NO. 1:23-CV-1477 )
v.	) ) JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	)
	) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND
	) <u>ORDER</u>
Defendant.	) [Regarding <u>ECF No. 13</u> ]

On April 3, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's applications for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income be affirmed. *See* <u>ECF No. 13</u>.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. <u>28</u> <u>U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C)</u>. Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. <u>Id.</u>; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. <u>Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985)</u>; <u>United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981)</u>. Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. <u>See Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149</u>.

In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by April 17, 2024. None of the parties of have filed any objections. Accordingly, the Court adopts the

(1:23-CV-1477)

Report and Recommendation. <u>ECF No. 13</u>. The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff

Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 19, 2024

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson United States District Judge