

(explaining that failure to respond or otherwise oppose a motion to dismiss operates as both a waiver of opposition to, and an independent basis for granting, the unopposed motion); *Demsey v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*, No. 1:04-cv-1942, 2005 WL 1917934, at *2 (N.D. Ohio Aug. 10, 2005) (“The court’s authority to grant a motion to dismiss because it is unopposed is well established.”); *see also Humphrey v. U.S. Attorney Gen.’s Office*, 279 F. App’x. 328, 331 (6th Cir. 2008) (citations omitted) (“Thus, where, as here, plaintiff has not raised arguments in the district court by virtue of his failure to oppose defendants’ motions to dismiss, the arguments have been waived.”)

Additionally, the Court finds that Defendants have persuasively articulated why Plaintiff is not entitled to the relief he seeks and that the complaint must be dismissed because it fails to state a viable claim against Defendants.

Accordingly, Defendants’ motion is GRANTED. This case is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



BRIDGET MEEHAN BRENNAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: October 30, 2023