Hutchison v. Parent et al Doc. 349

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Scott Hutchison,

Case No. 3:12-cv-320

Plaintiff

v.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

John R. Parent, et al.,

Defendants

On March 31, 2014, I issued a memorandum opinion and entered judgment denying Plaintiff and Defendant's cross-motions for summary judgment on Plaintiff's claims of breach of fiduciary duties and breach of contract. (Doc. Nos. 125 & 126). Approximately one year later, in April 2015, a jury trial was held. The jury returned verdicts for the Plaintiff and against the Defendant, awarding Plaintiff \$989,000.00 in compensatory damages and \$1,135,00.00 in punitive damages. (Doc. Nos. 209 & 210). Defendant appealed. (Doc. No. 225).

On appeal to the Circuit, Defendant argued, among other things, that summary judgment should have been granted in his favor because Plaintiff's claims were barred by Ohio's doctrine of res judicata pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 13(A). (First Brief for Appellant Cross-Appellee John R. Parent, Hutchison v. Parent, No. 15-3604, 16-4194, 18-3305 (6th Cir. June 15, 2018)). After briefing and oral argument, the Circuit agreed. Specifically, the Circuit concluded that, because there was a "logical relationship" between Plaintiff's present federal claims and previously dismissed state court claims, Ohio Civil Rule 13(A) precluded Plaintiff from litigating these claims in federal court.

Hutchison v. Parent, No. 15-3604, 16-4194, 18-3305, -- F. App'x --, 2019 WL 2149648 (6th Cir. May 15, 2019).

The Circuit vacated the judgment and damages award, reversed my denial of Defendant's motion for summary judgment, and remanded the case with instructions that I render judgment for Defendant on the basis of preclusion. *Id.* In accordance with the Circuit's mandate issued July 25, 2019, (Doc. No. 347), I hereby enter judgment in Defendant's favor.

So Ordered.

s/ Jeffrey J. Helmick
United States District Judge