

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL BROWN,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:13 CV 673

-vs-

MEMORANDUM OPINION  
AND JUDGMENT

COMMISSIONER OF  
SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

KATZ, J.

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge filed May 22, 2014 in the above-entitled action. Defendant Commissioner of Social Security filed a notice indicating no objections would be filed. Under the relevant statute:

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (2002). In this case, the fourteen-day period has elapsed and no objections have been filed. The failure to file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation constitutes a waiver of a *de novo* determination by the district court of an issue covered in the report. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984) *affirmed*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *see United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, and adopts that Report and Recommendation in its entirety. The decision of the Administrative Law Judge is reversed and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ David A. Katz  
DAVID A. KATZ  
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE