UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Jammie S. Siemer,

Case No. 3:20-cv-1419

Plaintiff

v.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant

Before me is the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of Magistrate Judge William H.

Baughman, Jr. filed on July 13, 2021. (Doc. No. 20). Under the relevant statute:

Within [fourteen (14)] days after being served a copy of these proposed Findings and Recommendation, any party who wishes to object must file and serve written objections or further appeal is waived.

United States v. Campbell, 261 F.3d 628, 631-32 (6th Cir. 2001) (citation omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1) (effective Dec. 1, 2009); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). In this case, the fourteen-day window for objections has elapsed, and no objections have been filed.

Following review of Judge Baughman's R & R, I adopt it in its entirety as the Order of the

Court. Because the ALJ failed to apply the proper legal standards in evaluating the opinion of

Plaintiff's treating physician, the Commissioner's decision must be reversed and remanded. I agree

with Judge Baughman's recommendation that, on remand, the ALJ shall not only remedy the error

with respect to the treating physician opinion, but also reconsider the state-agency sources to

determine whether those opinions remain valid for a closed period prior to 2018.

So Ordered.

<u>s/ Jeffrey J. Helmick</u> United States District Judge