

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL J. TURSKI, JR.,	)	
	)	CASE NO. 3:20CV2380
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
	)	
WARDEN NEIL TURNER,	)	
	)	
	)	<b><u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u></b>
	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
Respondent.	)	[Regarding <a href="#">ECF No. 8</a> ]

On August 8, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that Petitioner Turski Jr.’s five claims in his Petition for habeas relief be dismissed because they are nonrecognizable and/or meritless, and that Turski’s petition for a writ of habeas corpus be denied. *See* [ECF No. 8](#).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge’s report without review. *See* [Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 \(1985\)](#).

Objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by August 24, 2022. None of the parties have filed an objection. Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 8](#).

Additionally, the Court certifies, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#), that an appeal from

(3:20CV2380)

this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 10, 2023

Date

*/s/ Benita Y. Pearson*

Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge