



Now, Jackson seeks to amend his federal habeas corpus petition to reflect the claims that have arisen since the Court originally granted a stay.<sup>5</sup>

Jackson's current motion for leave to amend, however, is deficient. Although Jackson states that he seeks to amend his petition "to reflect the changes that have taken place in State court,"<sup>6</sup> he did not file a proposed amended petition reflecting those changes.

After a decade of substantive litigation in the Ohio courts, even the Warden expects an amended petition in this Court.<sup>7</sup> But without a proposed amendment, neither the Warden nor the Court can evaluate whether "justice [ ] requires" granting leave to amend.<sup>8</sup>

The Court therefore **ORDERS** Petitioner Jackson to file a proposed amended § 2254 petition by January 31, 2018.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 20, 2017

s/ \_\_\_\_\_ James S. Gwin  
JAMES S. GWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>5</sup> See Doc. [57](#).

<sup>6</sup> Id. at 2.

<sup>7</sup> See Doc. [58](#) at 2.

<sup>8</sup> See [Roskam Baking Co., Inc. v. Lanham Machinery Co., Inc., 288 F.3d 895, 906 \(6th Cir. 2002\)](#) ("[T]he district court must be able to determine whether 'justice so requires,' and in order to do this, the court must have before it the substance of the proposed amendment.").