## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

R. CARLETTI, et al.,	) CASE NO. 4:08 CV 2242
Plaintiff,	) JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS
v. U.S. ARMY CORP. OF ENGINEERS, et al.,	) ) MEMORANDUM OF OPINION ) AND ORDER )
Defendants.	)

On September 22, 2008, plaintiff <u>pro se</u> R. Carletti filed this <u>in forma pauperis</u> action against the U.S. Army Corp. Of Engineers and the U.S. Government. The complaint does not set forth a coherent claim for relief.

Principles requiring generous construction of <u>pro se</u> pleadings are not without limits. <u>Beaudett v. City of Hampton</u>, 775 F.2d 1274, 1277 (4th Cir. 1985). Even liberally construed, the complaint does not contain allegations reasonably suggesting plaintiff might have a valid federal claim, or indeed, even a claim over which the court has jurisdiction. This action is therefore appropriately subject to summary dismissal. <u>Apple v. Glenn</u>, 183 F.3d 477 (6th Cir. 1999).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed. Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Peter C. Economus - 11/18/2008
PETER C. ECONOMUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE