UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

GARY LAPRIEST,) CASE NO. 4:10 CV 1579
Petitioner,) JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
V.) MEMODANDIM OF ODINION
J.T. SHARTLE,) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Respondent.)

On July 16, 2010, petitioner pro se Gary Lapriest filed the above-captioned habeas corpus action under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Lapriest, who is incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution at Elkton, alleges that he has been subjected to repeated strip frisk searches, violating his Fourth Amendment rights.

Habeas corpus is not the appropriate vehicle for challenging the conditions of one's confinement. Preiser v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 475, 498-99 (1973); Young v. Martin, No. 02-2518, 83 Fed.Appx. 107, 109 (6th Cir. Dec. 5, 2003); Okoro v. Scibana, No. 1322, 1999 WL 1252871 (6th Cir. Dec. 15, 1999). Further, to obtain the relief petitioner seeks, the appropriate

action would be to file a civil rights complaint.1

Accordingly, the petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied and this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2243. Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan
PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: 9/30/10

To file a civil rights action, petitioner would be required either to pay the \$350 filing fee or to file a prisoner account statement so that the court would have sufficient financial information to assess and collect the filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915; McGore v. Wrigglesworth, 114 F.3d 601 (6th Cir. 1997).