

(4:12cv00186)

2010 ([ECF No. 4 at 4](#)), the Court ordered Respondent to show cause why a Writ of Habeas Corpus should not consequently issue. [ECF No. 4 at 8-9](#).

On October 29, 2012, Respondent filed a Response together with the declaration of Dennis Melick, a management analyst at the Designation and Sentence Computation Center located in Grand Prairie, Texas. [ECF Nos. 9](#) and [9-1](#). Respondent acknowledged that it had made an error in the computation of Castillo-DeLeon's jail-time credit. Respondent stated that Appeals Administrator Watts indeed had determined that Castillo-DeLeon was entitled to credit from February 16, 2009, to August 30, 2010, and, therefore, Watts and the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) incorrectly indicated that Castillo-DeLeon was entitled to only 365 days of credit. [ECF No. 9 at 6](#). Consequently, Respondent takes the position that the Petition should be granted, in part, to allow Castillo-DeLeon to receive 561 days of jail-time credit. [ECF No. 9 at 6](#).

Based on the foregoing, the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is GRANTED IN PART, to the extent that Castillo-DeLeon shall be entitled to 561 days of jail-time credit. As set forth in the Court's September 24, 2012 Memorandum of Opinion and Order, the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is otherwise DISMISSED. A hearing on the matter is not necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

October 30, 2012
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge