Glenn v. Kelly Doc. 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

DEON GLENN,

: CASE NO. 4:12-CV-1011

Petitioner, :

:

v. : OPINION & ORDER

: [Resolving Doc. No. 1]
BENNIE KELLY,

Respondent. :

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On April 24, 2012, Petitioner Glenn moved to vacate his sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ^{1/} Petitioner said that insufficient evidence existed to support his murder and attempted murder convictions. ^{2/} The Court referred the petition to Magistrate Judge James R. Knepp II for a Report and Recommendation. ^{3/} On September 12, 2013, Magistrate Judge Knepp issued a report recommending this Court deny the petition. ^{4/} Petitioner Glenn has not filed an objection. The Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate's report and recommendation and **DENIES** Petitioner's motion.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection.^{5/}
Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service.^{6/}

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Doc. $\underline{\mathbf{1}}$.

 $[\]frac{2}{2}$ Id.

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ Doc. 3.

 $[\]frac{4}{2}$ Doc. 10.

⁵/_{28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).}

^{6/} Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a).

Case No. 4:12-CV-1011 Gwin, J.

Failure to object within that time waives a party's right to appeal the magistrate judge's

recommendation.^{7/} Absent objection, a district court may adopt the magistrate's report without

review.^{8/} Moreover, having conducted its own review of the petition,^{9/} this Court agrees with the

conclusions of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS in whole Magistrate Judge Knepp's findings of fact and

conclusions of law and incorporates them fully herein by reference. The Court **DENIES** Petitioner's

§ 2254 petition. Moreover, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal

from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and no basis exists upon which to issue a

certificate of appealability. 10/

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 8, 2013 s/ James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $^{^{7/}}$ Id.; see <u>Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985)</u>; <u>United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981)</u>.

^{8/} Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149.

^{9/} Though Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time to file a traverse which the Court granted, Petitioner never filed a traverse. *See* Docs. 8; 9.

^{10/28} U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).