

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

VERNON LAMONT TURNER,)	
)	CASE NO. 4:16cv609
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
JAMIE DIMON, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u>
Defendants.)	<u>ORDER</u> [Resolving ECF No. 2]

Pro se Plaintiff Vernon Turner brings this action against the following JP Morgan Chase Bank officers and employees: Jamie Dimon, Marianne Lake, William Scudder, Tasha Crohurst, Taylor Kimmins, and Garen C. Britt. [ECF No. 1](#). The Complaint is difficult to understand, containing barely intelligible fact allegations and legal claims. It appears, however, that Plaintiff is challenging the enforceability of a promissory note and a security agreement concerning a Cadillac Escalade he purchased. *E.g.*, [ECF No. 1-1 at PageID #: 18](#). Plaintiff has also filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*. [ECF No. 2](#). Plaintiff's motion is granted, but for the reasons that follow, the action is dismissed.

Even when the complaint is liberally construed, it does not reflect a plausible basis on which this Court might exercise jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claim. This case is, therefore, subject to summary dismissal. [Apple v. Glenn, 183 F.3d 477, 479 \(6th Cir. 1999\)](#); [Hagans v. Lavine, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 \(1974\)](#) (citing numerous Supreme Court cases for the proposition that attenuated or unsubstantial claims divest the district court of jurisdiction); [In re Bendectin](#)

(4:16cv609)

[Litig., 857 F.2d 290, 300 \(6th Cir.1988\)](#) (recognizing that federal question jurisdiction is divested by unsubstantial claims).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed. The Court certifies, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)\(3\)](#), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

May 31, 2016
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge