Ashqar v. LaRose et al Doc. 38

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

DR. ABDELHALEEM ASHQAR,)	CASE NO. 4:18CV1141
Petitioner,)	JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
)	
VS.)	
)	
REBECCA ADDUCCI,)	MEMORANDUM OF OPINION
)	AND ORDER
Respondent.)	

CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO, J:

This matter is before the Court on Magistrate Judge James R. Knepp's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 37) to Grant Respondent's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 30) and Deny Petitioner's Renewed Motion to Compel Discovery (Doc. 25) as Moot. Objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by April 9, 2019. Petitioner has not filed an objection to the Report and Recommendation.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within fourteen days after service. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2). Petitioner has failed to timely file any such objections. Therefore, the Court must assume that Petitioner is satisfied with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be duplicative and an inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate

Judge, **GRANTS** Respondent's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 30) and **DISMISSES** Petitioner's

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Doc. 1) for a lack of subject matter

jurisdiction. Additionally, the Court **DENIES** Petitioner's Renewed Motion to Compel

Discovery (Doc. 25) as moot.

Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of a denial of a constitutional right directly

related to his conviction or custody. Therefore, the Court declines to issue a certificate of

appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); FED. R. APP. P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Christopher A. Boyko

CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO

United States District Judge

Dated: April 24, 2019

-2-