EXHIBIT B

1 E. SCOTT DOSEK (AZSBN #012114) JOHN P. PASSARELLI KUTAK ROCK LLP 2 Suite 300 3 8601 North Scottsdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85253-2742 (480) 429-5000 4 Facsimile: (480) 429-5001 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 NO .: 2:06 CV - 2141 SOILWORKS, LLC, an Arizona 10 corporation. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND 11 EOUITABLE RELIEF AND DEMAND Plaintiff. 12 FOR JURY TRIAL ٧. 13 MIDWEST INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY, INC., an Ohio corporation authorized to do 14 business in Arizona, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, Soilworks, LLC ("Soilworks"), brings this action against Defendant, 18 Midwest Industrial Supply, Inc. ("Midwest"), and alleges as follows: 19 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 20 This is a Complaint for an injunction, damages and other appropriate relief to 1. 21 stop Midwest from misrepresenting material facts concerning Soilworks and its products and 22 Midwest and its products. In this action, Soilworks asserts violations of the Lanham Act. 23 15 U.S.C. § 1041 et. seq., of the Arizona Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and of Arizona 24 common law. In addition, Soilworks seeks declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 25 1338 with regard to U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 which Midwest purports to own. 26 This Court has subject matter over Soilworks' claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 2. 27 § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338 and supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367. 28

4811-3471-4881.1

- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Midwest by virtue of the fact that Midwest does business in this judicial district, has a substantial nexus with the State of Arizona and has committed tortious conduct in this judicial district.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because (i) Midwest conducts business in this judicial district; and (ii) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, in this judicial district.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Soilworks is a limited liability company that maintains its principal place of business at 681 North Monterey Street, Suite 101, Gilbert, Arizona 85233. All of the members of Soilworks are residents and citizens of the state of Arizona.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Midwest is a corporation incorporated under the laws of a state other than Arizona and maintains its principal place of business in Canton, Ohio.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. Soilworks distributes environmentally-safe soil stabilizers, dust control agents and erosion control agents throughout the United States. Soilworks has spent substantial resources in the form of effort and capital in the sourcing, distribution and marketing of the foregoing products and has built a reputation for providing excellent products in a timely manner at a reasonable price. Soilworks' business is national in scope and Soilworks has earned the respect of distributors, customers and end users throughout the United States.
- 8. Midwest competes with Soilworks and has recently embarked on a scheme to injure the reputation that Soilworks has established with its distributors, customers and end users. Pursuant to this scheme, Midwest has disparaged Soilworks and its products, falsely represented that Soilworks is infringing alleged patent rights of Midwest, and falsely represented that Soilworks' products fall within the scope of alleged patent claims owned by Midwest. Midwest also falsely represents characteristics and attributes of its company and products. Pursuant to this scheme, Midwest has attempted to divert sales from Soilworks

- 9. Midwest has disseminated information and documentation to Soilworks' distributors, customers and end users which is inaccurate, misleading and which is causing irreparable harm and damage to Soilworks, Soilworks' reputation and the reputation of Soilworks' products.
- 10. Midwest's conduct is intended to cause mistake, deception and consumer confusion and was done by Midwest with the intention of damaging Soilworks and the reputation Soilworks has earned in the industry. The conduct of Midwest wrongfully diverts the potential market and customer loyalty to which Soilworks is entitled.
- 11. Defendant has engaged in the foregoing wrongful conduct intentionally and in order to profit from such conduct. Midwest's conduct is causing, and will continue to cause, irreparable harm to Soilworks and to tarnish and diminish the substantial goodwill Soilworks has cultivated with respect to its company, products and the proprietary rights associated therewith.
- 12. Midwest has allegedly obtained a United States Patent with respect to a method of chemical soil stabilization and dust control, U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270. Midwest intentionally has misrepresented the scope of said patent rights and has misrepresented to Soilworks' distributors, customers and end users that Soilworks' products fall within the scope of said patent rights, which is false. Midwest has also represented to Soilworks' distributors, customers and end users that Midwest owns a proprietary position with regard to Midwest's products and services which is false and/or misleading.
- 13. Midwest has disseminated marketing communications with regard to U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 that are false, misleading and intended to tarnish and diminish the substantial goodwill Soilworks has cultivated with respect to its company, products and Soilworks' proprietary rights associated therewith.

14. Midwest has disseminated marketing communications with regard to U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 that are false, misleading and intended to misrepresent characteristics of Midwest's products and its proprietary position with regard to those products.

COUNT I

FALSE REPRESENTATION UNDER LANHAM ACT, § 43(A)

- 15. Soilworks incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 14 above.
- 16. Midwest is disseminating information and documentary materials in a manner that is likely to cause confusion, mistake and deception among consumers of Soilworks' products regarding Soilworks' conduct and products. Such activities will mislead and divert customers of Soilworks and damage and tarnish the excellent reputation Soilworks has established in the marketplace.
- 17. Midwest has used, and continues to use, false and misleading statements and representations of fact in commercial advertising and promotion, thereby misrepresenting the nature, characteristics and qualities of their products, services and commercial activities of those of competitors.
- 18. Midwest's conduct constitutes false designation of origin and association in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 19. Midwest's unlawful conduct is causing Soilworks severe and irreparable harm that cannot be adequately remedied solely by monetary damages. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Midwest's unlawful actions are likely to continue, to Soilworks' harm and detriment.

COUNT II

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2201

- 20. Soilworks incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 above.
- 21. Midwest has averred that the U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 was duly and legally issued, that Midwest is the lawful owner, including the right to sue and to recover for any and all infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 and that Soilworks infringes said patent.

- 22. Soilworks denies that, either directly, contributorily and by inducement, it has infringed, and that it is infringing, any valid and/or enforceable claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 and Soilworks further asserts that U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 is invalid for failing to satisfy the conditions for patentability set forth in Part II of Title 35 of the United States Code, including, but not limited to, Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.
- 23. Soilworks alleges that there has been and is now an actual controversy between Soilworks and Midwest as to the validity and scope of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270.

COUNT III

MISAPPROPRIATION OF GOODWILL

- 24. Soilworks incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 above.
- 25. Midwest's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes misappropriation of Soilworks' valuable goodwill, reputation and business property.
- 26. As a direct result of Midwest's actions, as set forth above, Soilworks has been damaged. Midwest's unlawful conduct has caused Soilworks severe and irreparable harm that cannot be adequately remedied solely by monetary damages. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Midwest's unlawful actions are likely to continue, to Soilworks' harm and detriment.

COUNT IV

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS

RELATIONSHIP AND EXPECTANCY

- 27. Soilworks incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 28. Soilworks makes a substantial number of sales and has established customer relationships and has the expectancy to establish additional customer relationships as a result of the excellent reputation of its products.
- 29. Midwest knows of Soilworks' business relationships and expectancies and without justification, intentionally interfered with existing business relationships and has sought to frustrate Soilworks expected customer relationships.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	į
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	i
23	
24	
25	
26	

30. As a direct result of Midwest's actions, as set forth above, Soilworks has been damaged. Midwest's unlawful conduct is causing Soilworks severe and irreparable harm that cannot be adequately remedied solely by monetary damages. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Midwest's unlawful actions are likely to continue, to Soilworks' harm and detriment.

COUNT VI

ARIZONA COMMON LAW AND UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 31. Soilworks incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 30 above.
- 32. Midwest's conduct constitutes unfair competition prohibited by Arizona common law. Midwest's conduct has caused, and will continue to cause, damage to Soilworks.
- 33. As a result of Midwest's actions, Soilworks has been damaged. Midwest's unlawful conduct is causing Soilworks severe and irreparable harm that cannot be adequately remedied solely by monetary damages. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, Midwest's unlawful actions are likely to continue, to Soilworks' harm and detriment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Soilworks respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment against Midwest as follows:

- 1. For judgment against Midwest that it has committed the wrongful acts as described herein.
- 2. That the Court issue temporary and permanent injunctive relief against Midwest, and Midwest's officers, agents, representatives, servants, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with Midwest from:
 - (a) Disparaging Soilworks and Soilworks' products;
 - (b) Falsely representing that Soilworks is infringing alleged patent rights of Midwest;
 - (c) Falsely representing that Midwest owns a proprietary position with regard to its products which it does not, in fact, own;

27

- (d) Using and misusing any and all alleged patent rights under U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 in competition with Soilworks; and
- (e) Disseminating marketing communications which are in any respect false, misleading and are intended to tarnish and diminish the substantial goodwill Soilworks has cultivated with respect to its company, products and Soilworks' proprietary rights associated therewith.
- 3. That the Court declare that Soilworks has not and does not infringe any valid and/or enforceable claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270, directly or indirectly, literally or by equivalence.
 - 4. That the Court declare that each claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 is invalid.
- 5. That the Court enjoin Midwest, its officers, agents, representatives, distributors, employees, affiliates, parent and subsidiary corporations, attorneys and other persons in active concert or participation with them from charging infringement or instituting any action for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,081,270 against Soilworks or anyone in privity with Soilworks, including its successors, assigns, agents, suppliers, distributors, customers, licensees and sublicensees.
- 6. That the Court award Soilworks actual damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 7. That the Court award Soilworks treble damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 8. That the Court award Soilworks punitive damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.
 - 9. That the Court award Soilworks its attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein.
- 10. That the Court grant Soilworks all other relief to which it is entitled and such other or additional relief as is just and proper.

day of September, 2006. KUTAK ROCK LLP E. Scott Dosek John P. Passarelli Suite 300 8601 North Scottsdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85253-2742 Attorneys for Plaintiff **DEMAND FOR JURY** Soilworks hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues triable of right by a jury. KUTAK ROCK LLP E. Scott Dosek John R. Passarelli Suite 300 8601 North Scottsdale Road Scottsdale, AZ 85253-2742 Attorneys for Plaintiff

V SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET



The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

•					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
Soilworks, LLC		Midwest Industrial St	Midwest Industrial Supply, Inc.		
gonworks, 220			·PF-3,		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Maricopa	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant		
` '	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)	
(2.	, out 111 o.b. 121111111111111111111111111111111111	NOTE: IN LAN	D CONDEMNATION CASES, U	•	
			NVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	•		
Kutak Rock LLP	Additional, and recopholic standory				
8601 N. Scottsdale Rd.	, #300, Scottsdale, AZ 85253				
				<u> </u>	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
	,,	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ I U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question		CF DEF	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1		
			of Busiless III The	s State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2	Principal Place 🗓 5 🗐 5	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	Another State	
	(indicate Chizensup of Fantes in term in)	and all a		0 / 0 /	
			3 🔲 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
		Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT	TORTS				
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment	
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury	620 Other Food & Drug	28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 3 430 Banks and Banking	
130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury -	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 030 137	430 Commerce	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 368 Asbestos Personal		☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	■ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	2 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERT	FY Safety/Health		490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service	
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters	
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act	
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	□ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 530 General	Security Act	26 USC 7609	Act	
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty		4. 4.4	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Othe	ег		Under Equal Access	
	Employment 🔲 550 Civil Rights			to Justice	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - D 555 Prison Condition			950 Constitutionality of	
	Other			State Statutes	
	440 Other Civil Rights		····	L	
V ODICIN	(mm)			Appeal to District	
	an "X" in One Box Only)	14 princes de 5 Transf	erred from	🗂 🧸 Judge from	
	emoved from 3 Remanded from □	Reinstated or anothe	i dibulot iyididadi.	ici iviagistrate	
Proceeding St	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened (specif		Judgment	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are 15 U.S.C. § 1041 et.seq.	e filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	i statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO					
VII CAUDA OI MOTIO	Brief description of cause:				
			CHECK MISSI	:6.1	
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND:	Yes No	
	2(6)				
VIII. RELATED CASE					
IF ANY	(See Madedons). JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	CTCNIA DEPORT OF A TO	TOKNEY OF KECORD			
DATE / /	SIGNATORETHALI	I DE LOCAL			
9/7/06	15 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	7/100	<i>y</i> `	*****		
FOR OFFICE USE ORLI					
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	
А	rand and an				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes**unless diversity.

 Example:
 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

(For Use In Civil Cases With District Judge as Presider)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District	of	A-1447-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
Plaintiff	NOTICE, CONSENT, AN EXERCISE OF JURISDIC MAGISTRATE JUDGE	ND ORDER OF REFERENCE- CTION BY A UNITED STATES
v.	Case Number:	
Defendant		
	OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTR ERCISE JURISDICTION	ATE JUDGE
In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. judge of this district court is available to conduct any or of a final judgment. Exercise of this jurisdiction by a n	636© and Fed.R.Civ.P.73, you are hereby notificall proceedings in this case including a jury or no nagistrate judge is, however, permitted only if all	onjury trial, and to order the entry
You may, without adverse substantive consequences being exercised by a magistrate judge. If any party with not be communicated to any magistrate judge or to the	uences, withhold your consent, but this will preventholds consent, the identity of the parties conserdistrict judge to whom the case has been assigned	iting or withholding consent will
An appeal from a judgment entered by a magi- judicial circuit in the same manner as an appeal from an	istrate judge shall be taken directly to the Unite ny other judgment of a district court.	d States court of appeals for this
CONSENT TO THE EXERCISE OF JURI	SDICTION BY A UNITED STATES	MAGISTRATE JUDGE
In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. have a United States magistrate judge conduct any and judgment, and conduct all post-judgment proceedings.	. 636© and Fed.R.Civ.P. 73, the parties in this call further proceedings in the case, including the	ase hereby voluntarily consent to ne trial, order the entry of a final
Signatures	Party Represented	Date
	,	
	DER OF ASSIGNMENT	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case be United States Magistrate Judge, for all further proceeding 73 and the foregoing consent of the parties. All further proceeding the parties of the parties.	ngs and the entry of judgment in accordance with	n 28 U.S.C. 636(c), Fed.R.Civ.P. arry the following case number
Data	United States District Judge	
Date	Office office Piperior see go	

NOTE: RETURN THIS FORM TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT <u>ONLY IF</u> ALL PARTIES HAVE CONSENTED <u>ON THIS</u> <u>FORM</u> TO THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION BY A <u>UNITED</u> STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

Armstrong, Valerie J.

From:

azddb responses@azd.uscourts.gov

Sent:

Monday, September 11, 2006 12:18 PM

To:

azddb_nefs@azd.uscourts.gov

Subject: Activity in Case 2:06-cv-02141-DGC Soilworks LLC v Midwest Industrial Supply Inc "New Case

Opened"

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS You may view the filed documents once without charge. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

U.S. District Court

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was received from PEM, entered on 9/11/2006 at 12:17 PM MST and filed on 9/7/2006

Case Name:

Soilworks LLC v Midwest Industrial Supply Inc

Case Number:

2:06-cv-2141

Filer:

Document Number: 3

Docket Text:

Filing fee paid 9/7/06, receipt number PHX047896. This case has been assigned to the Honorable David G Campbell. All future pleadings or documents should bear the correct case number: CV06-2141-PHX-DGC. Notice of Availability of Magistrate Judge to Exercise Jurisdiction form attached. (PEM)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1096393563 [Date=9/11/2006] [FileNumber=1135561-0] [845d2dc0e36e6049f92c898b31fa56311fd313ac1da3e2c94fab49175f263944a40 7e6971f11ea58abb9b6e8ac214c83216d51074d5744cef4110b1246c69bec]]

2:06-cv-2141 Notice will be electronically mailed to:

E Scott Dosek scott.dosek@kutakrock.com, amy.fletcher@kutakrock.com, valerie.armstrong@kutakrock.com

2:06-cv-2141 Notice will be delivered by other means to:

Complaints and Other Initiating Documents

2:06-at-10951 Soilworks LLC

U.S. District Court

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was received from Dosek, E Scott entered on 9/7/2006 at 3:22 PM MST and filed on 9/7/2006

Case Name:

Soilworks LLC

Case Number:

2:06-at-10951

Filer:

Soilworks LLC

Document Number: 1

Docket Text:

COMPLAINT, filed by Soilworks LLC. (Attachments: # (1) Civil Cover Sheet)(Dosek, E)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1096393563 [Date=9/7/2006] [FileNumber=1130229-0] [071ec51d43aac3a56e9bb63789ac0d5a416d4d7945f083118583199652dbdfc46ff3 239934ab7a5bed95104fd25fcbbd5a4c7505b714a8608d7c3f4f70f9c25b]]

Document description: Civil Cover Sheet

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1096393563 [Date=9/7/2006] [FileNumber=1130229-1] [8f413ed5ee3e326c86d3f5a985b2f14b0929510ec3f3761ef945671be00eb66a908d d1288dd20abd8348820c72fb7f64f3f9dfd1f319ba37d462c03b86f0f628]]

2:06-at-10951 Notice will be electronically mailed to:

E Scott Dosek scott.dosek@kutakrock.com, amy.fletcher@kutakrock.com, valerie.armstrong@kutakrock.com

2:06-at-10951 Notice will be delivered by other means to:

Credit Card Payment

2:06-at-10951 Soilworks LLC

U.S. District Court

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was received from Dosek, E entered on 9/7/2006 at 3:29 PM MST and filed on 9/7/2006

Case Name:

Soilworks LLC

Case Number:

2:06-at-10951

Filer:

Document Number:

Docket Text:

SUBMISSION of CREDIT CARD INFORMATION for Civil Case Filing Fee in the amount of

\$350.00;

Type of Credit Card: MASTER CARD;

Name as it appears on Credit Card: E. SCOTT DOSEK;

Contact Telephone Number: 480-429-7112; Street: 8601 N. SCOTTSDALE ROAD;

Suite: 300;

Zip Code: 85253;

Credit Card Number: xxxx-xxxx-xxxx

Expiration Date: xx/xx Security Code: xxx

(Dosek, E)

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction: