## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

JAMIE GARRETT,	)	CASE NO. 5:11 CV 2638
Petitioner,	)	JUDGE DONALD C. NUGENT
V.	)	ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND
	)	RECOMMENDATION
MICHELLE MILLER,	)	
Warden,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

This matter comes before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Kenneth S. McHargh. The Report and Recommendation (ECF # 9), issued on August 13, 2012, is hereby ADOPTED by this Court. Petitioner filed this action requesting a writ of habeus corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254, challenging the constitutionality of his judgments of conviction for menacing by stalking and violating a protective order in Stark County, Ohio. The Respondent filed a motion to dismiss the petition, (ECF #8), to which the Petitioner has not responded.

The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Petitioner's first claim be denied because he has not established a violation of any clearly established federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States. Rather, he challenges the state court's application of state sentencing laws. Further, the Magistrate has recommended that the other two claims be denied

because they have been procedurally defaulted. The Petitioner has not filed any objections to

the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation.

The Court has reviewed de novo the Report and Recommendation, see Ohio Citizen

Action v. City of Seven Hills, 35 F. Supp. 2d 575, 577 (N.D. Ohio 1999), and ADOPTS the

Report and Recommendation in its entirety. The petition is, therefore, denied, and the case is

dismissed. Further, for the reasons stated in the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, a reasonable jurist could not conclude that dismissal of the Petition is in error

or that Petitioner should be permitted to proceed further. Accordingly, the Court certifies,

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good

faith, and there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. §

2253(c); FED. R. APP. P. 22(b). IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Donald C. Nugent

DONALD C. NUGENT United States District Judge

DATED: September 10, 2012

-2-