Bair v. Clinton et al Doc. 7

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Christopher M. Bair,	) CASE NO. 5:13CV593
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS )
Hilary R. Clinton, et al.,	) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>
Defendants.	) <u>AND ORDER</u> )

On March 19, 2013, Plaintiff Christopher Bair filed this matter naming Bill and Hillary Clinton as Defendants. For the reasons that follow, this matter is DISMISSED.

## **Analysis**

While *pro se* pleadings are liberally construed, *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982) (per curiam); *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), the district court may dismiss an action *sua sponte* if the complaint is so "implausible, attenuated, unsubstantial, frivolous, devoid of merit, or no longer open to discussion" as to deprive the court of jurisdiction. *Apple v. Glenn*, 183 F.3d 477, 479 (6th Cir. 1999)(citing *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 536-37 (1974)). Moreover, an *in forma pauperis* claim may be dismissed *sua sponte*, without prior notice to the plaintiff and without service of process on the defendant, if the court explicitly states that it is invoking section 1915(e) [formerly 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)] and is dismissing the claim for one of the reasons set forth in the statute. *McGore v. Wrigglesworth*, 114 F.3d 601, 608-09 (6th Cir. 1997). For the following reasons, the Court finds the claim asserted in this action satisfies these criterion.

The complaint lacks any factual allegations against the named defendants or any other

individuals. It includes citations to non-existent legal authority such as Civil Rule 85B-Q and

includes text that does not appear to form any known word, such as "soesuctance" and "proscutiraie."

In any event, the complaint does not state any conceivable, viable cause of action against the

defendants. Accordingly, it is subject to immediate dismissal prior to service.

**Conclusion** 

Based upon the above, this action is DISMISSED. The court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: March 27, 2013

/s/ John R. Adams

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2