El-Jones v. Lazeroff

Doc. 12

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Elohim El-Jones,

Case No. 5:14 CV 2669

Petitioner,

ORDER ADOPTING
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

-vs-

JUDGE JACK ZOUHARY

Alan Lazeroff,

Respondent.

This Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's February 9, 2016 Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (*see* Doc. 11). The R&R recommends this Court deny Petitioner Elohim El-Jones' Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), a party must serve written objections to an R&R within fourteen (14) days of being served with the R&R. If a party timely objects, this Court reviews *de novo* the portions of the R&R to which objections were made. If a party does not timely object, the party waives *de novo* review by the district court. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Sullivan*, 431 F.3d 976, 984 (6th Cir. 2005).

El-Jones' deadline for filing objections has passed, and this Court has received no requests for extension. The R&R accurately states the facts and law. This Court adopts the R&R in full.

El-Jones' Petition (Doc. 1) is denied. Further, this Court certifies an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith and there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_s/ Jack Zouhary JACK ZOUHARY U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

February 29, 2016