

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 EASTERN DIVISION

ANDREW W. BROTHERS, Plaintiff, v. COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, Defendant.)))))))))))	CASE NO. 5:16CV1942 JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND ORDER</u>
---	---	---

An Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) denied Plaintiff Andrew W. Brothers’ application for Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) after a hearing in the above-captioned case. That decision became the final determination of the Commissioner of Social Security when the Appeals Council denied Plaintiff’s request to review the ALJ’s decision. Plaintiff sought judicial review of the Commissioner’s decision, and the Court referred the case to Magistrate Judge David A. Ruiz for preparation of a Report and Recommendation pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636](#) and [Local Rule 72.2\(b\)\(1\)](#). The Magistrate Judge submitted a Report ([ECF No. 19](#)) recommending that the Court affirm the Commissioner’s decision. [ECF No. 19 at PageID #: 1186](#).

[Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#) provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within fourteen days after service. *See also* [ECF No. 19 at PageID #: 1186](#) (instructing parties to file any objections within fourteen days). No objections have been filed, evidencing satisfaction with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of the Court’s limited resources. [Thomas v. Arn, 728](#)

(5:16CV1942)

F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

Accordingly, the Court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court affirms the Commissioner's decision and dismisses Plaintiff's case in its entirety, with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 7, 2017
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge