UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

CHADWICK LYNN MILLER,) CASE NO. 5:19 CV 1371
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)
	MEMORANDUM OF OPINION
JACKSON POLICE DEPARTMENT, et a	l.,) <u>AND ORDER</u>
)
Defendants.)

Pro se Plaintiff Chadwick Lynn Miller filed this action against the Jackson Police

Department and the Jackson Fire Department and EMS.. In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges
terrorists installed thought reading transmission technology on him against his will. He alleges
the Defendants did not install the technology but aid the terrorists by impersonating people from
his past, stalking him, harassing him and using mind altering tactics against him. He seeks
\$500,000,000.00 in damages.

Plaintiff filed an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. That Application is granted Although *pro se* pleadings are liberally construed, *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982) (per curiam); *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), the district court is required to dismiss an *in forma pauperis* action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) if it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or if it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319 (1989); *Lawler v. Marshall*, 898 F.2d 1196 (6th Cir. 1990); *Sistrunk v.*

City of Strongsville, 99 F.3d 194, 197 (6th Cir. 1996). A claim lacks an arguable basis in law or fact when it is premised on an indisputably meritless legal theory or when the factual contentions are clearly baseless. *Neitzke*, 490 U.S. at 327. A cause of action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted when it lacks "plausibility in the complaint." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 564 (2007).

A pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009). The factual allegations in the pleading must be sufficient to raise the right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all the allegations in the complaint are true. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. The Plaintiff is not required to include detailed factual allegations, but must provide more than "an unadorned, the defendant unlawfully harmed me accusation." *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678. A pleading that offers legal conclusions or a simple recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not meet this pleading standard. *Id*.

In reviewing a Complaint, the Court must construe the pleading in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff. *Bibbo v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.*, 151 F.3d 559, 561 (6th Cir. 1998). The Court, however, is given discretion to refuse to accept without question the truth of Plaintiff's allegations when they may be fairly described as fanciful, fantastic, delusional, wholly incredible, or irrational. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992). The case at bar undoubtedly presents just such a Complaint. It does not contain a plausible statement of fact or a decipherable legal claim.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis is granted, and this

action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(e). The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith. ¹	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	

Date: November 7, 2019 /s/ John R. Adams
JOHN R. ADAMS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) provides:

An appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies that it is not taken in good faith.