COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

On July 17, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's applications for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income be affirmed. *See* ECF No. 9.

ORDER

[Regarding ECF No. 9]

MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 (6th Cir. 1981). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. *See Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 149.

In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by July 31, 2023. None of the parties of have filed any objections. Accordingly, the Court adopts the

Case: 5:22-cv-01699-BYP Doc #: 10 Filed: 08/01/23 2 of 2. PageID #: 609	
(5:22-CV-1699)	
Report and Recommendation. <u>ECF No. 9</u> . The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff	
Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Security Income is affirmed.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
August 1, 2023 Date	/s/ Benita Y. Pearson Benita Y. Pearson
	United States District Judge
	2