

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

JOHN DOE,

Plaintiff

v.

C-1-09-222

THE PROCTOR AND GAMBLE  
COMPANY,

Defendant

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (doc. no. 42) and plaintiff's objections (doc. no. 48). The Magistrate Judge concluded that defendant did not fail to plead or otherwise defend and therefore recommended that plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (doc. no. 16).

Plaintiff objects to the Judge's Report and Recommendation on the grounds that his findings are contrary to law.

**CONCLUSION**

Upon a *de novo* review of the record, especially in light of plaintiff's objections, the Court finds that plaintiff's objections have either been adequately addressed and properly disposed of by the Judge or present no particularized arguments that warrant specific responses by this Court. The Court finds that the Magistrate Judge has accurately set forth the controlling principles of law and properly applied them to the particular facts of this case and agrees with the Judge.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS AND INCORPORATES BY REFERENCE** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (doc. no. 42). Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (doc. no. 16) is **DENIED**. This case is **RECOMMITTED** to the United States Magistrate Judge for further proceedings according to law.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Herman J. Weber  
Herman J. Weber, Senior Judge  
United States District Court