## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER NATHAN PARIS, : NO. 1:10-CV-00143

:

Plaintiff,

:

v. : OPINION AND ORDER

:

REGENT ASSET MANAGEMENT

SOLUTIONS, INC.,

:

Defendant.

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment (doc. 8), to which Defendant filed no response.

Plaintiff filed his one-count Complaint on March 1, 2010, alleging Defendant violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, ("FDCPA") 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., in its actions taken with regard to Plaintiff (doc. 1). Although served with a summons, Defendant filed no answer, and no Counsel has entered an appearance on behalf of Defendant. On May 5, 2010, the Clerk entered an entry of default as to Defendant (doc. 5).

In the instant motion, Plaintiff seeks \$4,053.50, itemized as: \$1,000.00 in statutory damages pursuant to the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. 1692k(a)(2)(A); \$2,128.50 in attorney's fees; \$425.00 in filing and service fees; and \$500.00 in anticipated collection costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k)(a)(3) (doc. 8). Plaintiff contends his request is reasonable, and that as the FDCPA has feeshifting provisions, he is properly entitled to attorneys' fees

 $(\underline{\text{Id}}.)$ . Plaintiff attached declarations of his attorneys to his

motion as well as other evidence, including the 2007 Consumer Law

Attorney Fee Survey, showing that the fees he is requesting are

appropriate (<u>Id</u>.).

Having reviewed this matter, the Court concludes that

Defendant has completely failed to respond or defend this lawsuit.

Inasmuch as the Clerk has entered an entry of default, the posture

of this case is such that the Court can properly enter judgment

against Defendant. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2). The actions of

Defendant show it is ignoring Plaintiff's Complaint, it is in

default, it is not defending this action, and that entry of default

judgment is appropriate. <u>Id</u>.

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion for

Default Judgment (doc. 8), ENTERS Default Judgment against

Defendant, and AWARDS Plaintiff \$4,053.50. The Clerk is directed

to serve copies of this Order to the Defendant at its address,

listed on the Complaint.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 5, 2010

s/S. Arthur Spiegel

S. Arthur Spiegel

United States Senior District Judge

2