IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

Jeremy B	uchanan,
	Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 1:10cv856 (Litkovitz, MJ; Consent Case)

Russell Mattingly, et al., Defendants.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

- [X] JURY VERDICT: This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the Jury has rendered its verdict.
- [] **DECISION BY COURT**: This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

See attached Jury Verdict form (Document # 64)

Date: May 9, 2012

Clerk of Court

By: s/Arthur Hill, deputy clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

JEREMY BUCHANAN,	Case No. 1:10-cv-856	
Plaintiff	Litkovitz, M.J.	
vs		
RUSSELL MATTINGLY, et al., Defendants.		
VERDICT FORM		
1. Was defendant Russell J. Mattingly negligent?		
Yes No		
If you answer No to Question 1 then you have reached a verdict in favor of Russell J. Mattingly and should proceed to #4 below. If you answer Yes to Question 1 then proceed to answer Question 2.		
2. Was plaintiff Jeremy Buchanan negligent?		
Yes No		
If you answer No to Question 2 then you have reached a verdict in favor Jeremy Buchanan and should proceed to #4 below. If you answer Yes to Question 2 then proceed to answer Question 3.		