

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

HUSTLER CINCINNATI, INC., *et al.*,  
Plaintiffs,

v.

PAUL J. CAMBRIA, JR., ESQ., *et al.*,  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:11-cv-718

Bertelsman, J.  
Litkovitz, M.J.


**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court following a telephone status conference held on October 18, 2013, before the undersigned magistrate judge. Defendants recently produced a large number of redacted documents in response to plaintiffs' request for communications between defendants and Jimmy Flynt and defendants and attorney Lou Sirkin. As part of the multi-step process for determining whether these documents are protected by attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine, plaintiffs are to identify to defendants which of the produced redacted documents they believe they are entitled to, as well as identify the legal issues and claims they believe entitle them to unredacted versions of these documents, on or before **October 31, 2013**.

This matter is set for a telephone conference on **November 1, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.** to set a briefing schedule on the parties' positions as to the whether the sought after documents are protected by the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Date: 10/18/13

  
Karen L. Litkovitz  
United States Magistrate Judge