UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

EUSEBIO AMBROCIO OLIVERA,

Case No. 1:12-cv-750

Plaintiff

Spiegel, J.

VS

Litkovitz, M.J.

STEVE BAUER CONSTRUCTION LLC,

REPORT AND

Defendants.

RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, initiated this action in September 2012 against defendant Steve Bauer Construction LLC pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. Section 201, for non payment of overtime wages. Plaintiff's complaint was entered upon the Court's docket on October 3, 2012. (Doc. 3). Defendant was served with a summons and a copy of the complaint on October 17, 2012. (Doc. 5). Accordingly, defendant's answer was due on or about November 7, 2012. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a)(1)(A). To date, defendant has failed to move, answer, or otherwise plead in response to the complaint. On April 5, 2013, the Clerk of Court entered a default as to defendant Steve Bauer Construction LLC pursuant to plaintiff's application for default. (Doc. 9).

On June 21, 2013, plaintiff was ordered to either file a motion for default judgment against defendant or show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. (Doc. 10). The Court's Order also informed plaintiff that failure to comply with terms of the order shall result in a report and recommendation to the District Court

that this action be dismissed. (Doc. 10). On July 17, 2013, the Order of the Court sent via certified mail to plaintiff was returned to the Clerk of Court by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unclaimed - Return to Sender." (Doc. 11). To date, plaintiff has failed to respond to the Court's Orders.

Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this matter and to obey an Order of the Court warrants dismissal of this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). *See Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109-10 (6th Cir. 1991). District courts have the power to *sua sponte* dismiss civil actions for want of prosecution to "manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630-631 (1962). *See also Jourdan*, 951 F.2d at 109. Though plaintiff is proceeding pro se, as stated by the Supreme Court, "we have never suggested that procedural rules in ordinary civil litigation should be interpreted so as to excuse mistakes by those who proceed without counsel." *McNeil v. United States*, 508 U.S. 106, 113 (1993).

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT plaintiff's case be DISMISSED in its entirety for want of prosecution and for failure to obey an Order of the Court.

IT SO ORDERED.

Date: 7/18/13

Karen L. Litkovitz

United States Magistrate Judge

2 Lothant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

EUSEBIO AMBROCIO OLIVERA,

Case No. 1:12-cy-750

Plaintiff

Beckwith, J.

VS

Litkovitz, M.J.

STEVE BAUER CONSTRUCTION LLC,

Defendants.

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES REGARDING FILING OF OBJECTIONS TO THIS R&R

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to these proposed findings and recommendations within **FOURTEEN DAYS** after being served with this Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e), this period is automatically extended to seventeen days (excluding intervening Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) because this R&R is being served by mail. That period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion for an extension. Such objections shall specify the portions of the R&R objected to, and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the R&R is based, in whole or in part, upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within **FOURTEEN DAYS** after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See United States v. Walters*, 638 F. 2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

FUIL CENTIAN	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
 SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: Evselie Ambrocie Olivera 15 2 W. 73 St. 	A. Signature X B. Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address different from ite If YES, enter delivery address below	Agent Addressee C. Date of Delivery The property of the pro
Cinti, 04 45216	3. Service Type Sylvarified Mail Express Mail Registered Return Registered Return Registered Insured Mail C.O.D.	Mail eceipt for Merchandise
	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	☐ Yes
2. Article Number 7011 350 (Transfer from service label)]	102595-02-M-1540

PS Form 3811, February 2004

1

Domestic Return Receipt