	U.S. Postal S		SEIDT		
4878	CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com   □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □				
500 0001 5488	Postage Certified Fee Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) Total Postage & Fees	\$	Postmark Here	J	
36 1102	Sent To 10 000 577-701				

h	11	1		ıı ıl			
				CASREF			
			-	JURY PROTO			
	REPLY to Response to Motion re 37 MOTION for Summary Judgment as to						
	Claims filed by Defendant Fifth Third Bank. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Eldredge Deposition Excerpts, # 2 Exhibit Zacharias Deposition Excerpts, # 3 Exhibit						
	Shepherd Deposition Excerpts, # 4 Exhibit Busher Deposition Excerpts, # 5						
	Exhibit Carroll Deposition Excerpts) (Pensyl, Tyler)						
1:09-cv-00604-	Entered:	Category: respm	C. Griffith	Cause: 28:1332			
SJD		Event: Reply to Response to	Type: aty	Diversity-Breach of			
Cincinnati	II I	Motion		Contract			
Industrial	18:18:08	Document: 91		NOS: Contract:			
Machinery, Inc. v. VMI Holland BV	1711ea: 107/22/2011	QC Status Not Reviewed		Other Office: Cincinnati			
V WII Honand B V	07/22/2011	Not Reviewed		Presider: Susan J.			
				Dlott			
				Jury demand: Both			
				Case Flags: JURY			
				PROTO			
	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>83</u> MOTION for Discovery <i>Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(D)</i> filed by Defendant VMI Holland BV.						
1.00	(Griffith, Calvin)						
1:09-cv-00617-	Entered:	Category: order	J. Webster	Cause: 42:1983			
WOB-SKB Mosser v. Watson	II I	Event: Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief	Type: crt	Civil Rights Act NOS: Civil Rights:			
et al	14:18:07	Document: 54		Other			
		QC Status		Office: Cincinnati			
	07/22/2011	Not Reviewed		Presider: William O.			
				Bertelsman			
				Referral: Stephanie			
				K. Bowman  Jury demand:			
				Plaintiff			
				Case Flags:			
				APPEAL			
				CASREF			
				DISC-ONLY			
	JURY (CORPORATION OF CORPORATION OF						
	ORDER granting 50 Motion for Order in Support of Remand in order to Dismiss State Law Claims. Plaintiff seeks to dismiss the state law false arrest, malicious prosecution, and state law assault and battery claims against Defendants Watson and Shepard. An appeal based on immunity claims under O.R.C. Section 2744 is pending in the Sixth Circuit with respect to those claims. Pursuant to the						
	procedure outlined in First National bank of Salem, Ohio v. Hirsch, 535 F.2d 343						
	(6th Cir. 1976), this Court hereby expresses its willingness to grant a motion to						
	dismiss the state law false arrest, malicious prosecution and state law assault and battery claims against Defendants Watson and Shepard upon remand of these						
	claims to the District Court. Signed by William O. Bertelsman on 7/22/2011.						
	(jlw)						
	<u> </u>						