## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

LARRY DELAWDER, : NO. 1:13-CV-00487

:

Petitioner,

ORDER

V.

.

WARDEN, MANSFIELD

CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION,

Respondent.

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's August 5, 2014 Report and Recommendation (doc. 20). No Objection has been filed.

Proper notice was provided to the Parties under Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including the notice that they would waive further appeal if they failed to file an objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. See United States v. Walters,  $638 ext{ F.2d } 947$ , 949-50 ( $6^{th}$  Cir. 1981).

Having reviewed this matter <u>de novo</u>, pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court concludes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is correct that Petitioner's ten grounds for relief are lacking in merit or procedurally defaulted. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and AFFIRMS the Report and Recommendation in all respects (doc. 20), and DISMISSES Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (doc. 1) with prejudice. The Court further FINDS that a certificate of appealability should not issue with respect

to Petitioner's claims alleged in the Petition, because Petitioner has not stated a "viable claim of the denial of a constitutional right," nor are the issues presented "adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 475 (2000). Finally, the Court CERTIFIES pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that with respect to any application by Petitioner to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, an appeal of this Order would not be taken in "good faith" and therefore the Court DENIES Petitioner leave to appeal in forma pauperis upon a showing of financial necessity. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a); Kincade v. Sparkman, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6th Cir. 1997).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 9, 2014

s/S. Arthur Spiegel
S. Arthur Spiegel
United States Senior District Judge