Bowman v. Harrison et al Doc. 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Vivian J. Bowman, Plaintiff

v. Case No. 1:14-cv-406

Lynessa Harrison, et al., Defendants

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation filed May 19, 2014 (Doc. 4).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. See United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). As of the date of this Order, no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation have been filed.

Having reviewed this matter <u>de novo</u> pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, we find the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation correct.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

This Court certifies that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore **DENIES** petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff remains free to apply to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the Court of Appeals. *See* Callihan v Schneider, 178 F.3d 800, 803 (6th

Cir. 1999), overruling in part *Floyd v United States Postal Serv.*, 105 F.3d 274, 277 (6th Cir. 1997).

Date: June 10, 2014 <u>s/Sandra S. Beckwith</u>

Sandra S. Beckwith, Senior Judge United States District Court