

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

Johnathan Sexton,
Petitioner

v.

Case No. 1:14-cv-445

Jason Bunting, Warden,
Respondent

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation filed July 6, 2015 (Doc. 16).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. See United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). As of the date of this Order, no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation have been filed.

Having reviewed this matter de novo pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, we find the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation correct.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

A certificate of appealability will not issue with respect to petitioner's claims for relief, because under the first prong of the applicable two-part standard enunciated in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000), "jurists of reason would not find it debatable as to whether this Court is correct in its procedural rulings."

This Court certifies that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) an appeal of this

Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore DENIES petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a); *Kincade v. Sparkman*, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6th Cir. 1997).

Date: July 30, 2015

s/Sandra S. Beckwith

Sandra S. Beckwith, Senior Judge
United States District Court