UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

ORDER ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE THIRD PARTY SUBPOENA PRIOR TO A RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE

THIS CAUSE came before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Serve A Third Party Subpoena Prior to a Rule 26(f) Conference (the "Motion"), and the Court being duly advised in the premises does hereby:

FIND, ORDER AND ADJUDGE:

- 1. Plaintiff established that "good cause" exists for it to serve a third party subpoena on Time Warner Cable (hereinafter the "ISP"). *See UMG Recording, Inc. v. Doe*, 2008 WL 4104214, *4 (N.D. Cal. 2008); and *Arista Records LLC v. Does 1-19*, 551 F. Supp. 2d 1, 6-7 (D.D.C. 2008).
- 2. Plaintiff may serve the ISP with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding the ISP to provide Plaintiff with the true name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address of the Defendant to whom the ISP assigned an IP address as set forth on Exhibit A to the Complaint. Plaintiff shall attach to any such subpoena a copy of this Order.

3. Plaintiff may also serve a Rule 45 subpoena in the same manner as above on any

service provider that is identified in response to a subpoena as a provider of Internet services to

one of the Defendants.

4. If the ISP that qualifies as a "cable operator," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 522(5),

which states:

the term "cable operator" means any person or group of persons

(A) who provides cable service over a cable system and directly or through one

or more affiliates owns a significant interest in such cable system, or

(B) who otherwise controls or is responsible for, through any arrangement, the

management and operation of such a cable system.

shall comply with 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B), which states:

A cable operator may disclose such [personal identifying] information if the disclosure is . . . made pursuant to a court order authorizing such disclosure, if the

subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is directed.

bysending a copy of this Order to the Defendant.

5. Plaintiff may only use the information disclosed in response to a Rule 45

subpoena served on the ISP for the purpose of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's rights as set

forth in its Complaint.

DONE AND ORDERED this <u>12th</u>day of <u>September</u>, 2014 , <>.

By: /s/Timothy S. Black

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2