Roark v. Heyd et al Doc. 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Hobert Roark, Jr.,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 1:15cv049

Dr. T. Heyd, et al.,

Judge Michael R. Barrett

Defendants.

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation filed by the Magistrate Judge on June 1, 2015 (Doc. 3).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). No objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation have been filed.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 3) of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. The Complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** for lack of prosecution consistent with the recommendation by the Magistrate Judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Michael R. Barrett

Michael R. Barrett United States District Judge