

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

Lisa Dawn Hardy Willimas,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:15cv113

Cheryl Spencer,

Judge Michael R. Barrett

Defendant.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation filed by the Magistrate Judge on March 25, 2015 (Doc. 4).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). The Court notes, however, that though such notice was served upon Plaintiff, it was returned to the Court due to Plaintiff's failure to apprise the Court of her change of address. By failing to keep the Court apprised of her current address, Plaintiff demonstrates a lack of prosecution of her action. *See, e.g., Theede v. United States Department of Labor*, 172 F.3d 1262, 1265 (10th Cir. 1999)(Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation due to delay resulting from party's failure to bring to the Court's attention a change in address constitutes failure to object in a timely manner. Because the Recommendation was mailed to the last known address, it was properly served, and party waived right to appellate review). *See also Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109 (6th Cir. 1991)(A *pro se* litigant has an affirmative duty to

