UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

Jerone McDougald,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 1:17cv124

Shannon Bear, *et al.*, Judge Michael R. Barrett

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation filed by the Magistrate Judge on April 20, 2017 (Doc. 6).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. *United States v. Walters,* 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

The Court notes, however, that though such notice was served upon Plaintiff, it was returned to the Court due to Plaintiff's failure to apprise the Court of his change of address (Doc. 7). By failing to keep the Court apprised of his current address, Plaintiff demonstrates a lack of prosecution of his action. *See*, e.g., *Theede v. United States Department of Labor*, 172 F.3d 1262, 1265 (10th Cir. 1999)(Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation due to delay resulting from party's failure to bring to the court's attention a change in address constitutes failure to object in a timely manner. Because the Recommendation was mailed to the last known address, it was properly served, and party waived right to appellate review). *See* also *Jourdan v.*

Jabe, 951 F.2d 108, 109 (6th Cir. 1991)(A *pro se* litigant has an affirmative duty to diligently pursue the prosecution of his cause of action); *Barber v. Runyon,* No. 93-6318, 1994 WL 163765, at *1 (6th Cir. May 2, 1994) (A *pro se* litigant has a duty to supply the court with notice of any and all changes in his address). No objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 6) have been filed.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED.** Consistent with the recommendation by the Magistrate Judge, the claims against defendants Walter Sammons, Susan Feltz, Larry Green and Director Gary Mohr be **DISMISSED with prejudice** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b)(1).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Michael R. Barrett
Michael R. Barrett
United States District Judge