Hobbs v. Faulkner et al Doc. 64

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT CINCINNATI

RYAN D. HOBBS,

Plaintiff, : Case No. 1:17-cv-441

District Judge Michael R. Barrett Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

· VS -

DEREK FAULKNER, et al.,

Defendants.

DECISION AND ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR DISCOVERY

This civil rights case under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Discovery (ECF No. 63).

The case is currently pending before District Judge Barrett on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (ECF No. 44) and Supplemental Report and Recommendations (ECF No. 56) recommending that this case be dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiff has not shown good cause to subject Defendants or any of them to discovery in the face of those recommendations. If the case should survive Judge Barrett's review, discovery can then be reconsidered.

Discovery in civil cases in federal court is party-initiated. That is, a party must make an appropriate demand for discovery of some kinds under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26-37. If the responding party fails to respond completely, then the party seeking discovery may file a motion to compel

under Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, subject to the restrictions in that Rule requiring consultation among the

parties before moving to compel.

From the Motion and attachments, it appears that Plaintiff may be seeking to use the federal

court discovery process to obtain documents for use in other courts. Plaintiff should instead use

the processes of the courts which have jurisdiction over those other cases to obtain discovery.

The Motion for Discovery is DENIED.

January 16, 2019.

s/ Michael R. Merz

United States Magistrate Judge

2