

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

*Granted  
Shawn L. Litkovitz  
8/16/18*

PELE BRADFORD,	:	Case No. 1:18cv215
Petitioner	:	JUDGE DLOTT
v.	:	MAGISTRATE JUDGE LITKOVITZ
WARDEN, OHIO STATE PENITENTIARY,	:	
Respondent	:	

**RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO THE PETITIONER’S  
TRAVERSE**

The parties filed their initial pleadings. ECF 32, Respondent’s answer/return of writ. ECF 39, Petitioner’s traverse.

Because of new matter set out in the petitioner’s traverse that requires amplification, respondent moves the Court to permit the filing of the following reply to the petitioner’s traverse. The Court’s order does not explicitly permit a reply to the petitioner’s traverse, hence respondent moves to Court to allow the attached reply. Given his litigation history, the petitioner will most likely want to respond to the motion.