Case 2:06-cv-00472-GLF-NMK Document 2-2

Filed 06/14/2006

Case 2:04-cv-00884-MHW-TPK

Document 1 Filed 09/14/2004

Page 1 of 16 Page 1 of 16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO, EASTERN DIVISION

TIME

SEP 1 4 2004

GEORGE G. HARRIS, a/k/a, GEORGE G. HARRIS' WILDLIFE COLLECTION, P.O. BOX 748 **ENGLISHTOWN, NJ 07726-0748**

JAMES BONINI, Clerk COLUMBUS, OHIO

Plaintiff,

VS.

OTC, INC., a/k/a ORIENTAL TRADER'S CO. **40 CLAIREDON DRIVE** POWELL, OHIO 43065

AND

LAUREN-SPENCER, INC. **40 CLAIREDON DRIVE** POWELL, OHIO 43065

AND

SHIRLEY LEE 410 N. CHESTNUT MIDDLE POINT, OHIO 45863

AND

BOWEN LEE, a/k/a LEE BOWEN 410 N. CHESTNUT MIDDLE POINT, OHIO 45863

AND

DANIEL LEE 410 N. CHESTNUT MIDDLE POINT, OHIO 45863

AND

POPPHIE LEE 410 N. CHESTNUT MIDDLE POINT, OHIO 45863

Defendants.

63016v1 28630-1

- 1 -

COMPLAINT

Civil Action No. **C2** 04 884

FUDGE GRAHAM

NASISTRATE JUDGE KEMP

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff, George G. Harris, a/k/a GEORGE G. HARRIS' WILDLIFE COLLECTION (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Harris"), by and through his attorneys, state the following complaint against OTC, INC., a/k/a ORIENTAL TRADER'S COMPANY, LAUREN-SPENCER, INC., SHIRLEY LEE, BOWEN LEE, a/k/a LEE BOWEN, DANIEL LEE and POPPHIE LEE, individually (hereinafter collectively "Defendants").

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action for copyright infringement, unfair competition, unfair trade practices, direct copying of molds and casts and fraud/misrepresentation, is brought pursuant to Federal statues, namely the Copyright Act of 1976, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. and § 43(a) of the Lanham Trade-mark Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), under several state statutes, and under the Common Law.
- 2. Plaintiff asserts these claims as a result of Defendants' use, copying and sale, without permission, of the Plaintiff's original works of authorship embodied in wildlife art pewter pins. Plaintiff seeks the full extent of relief available to him under the aforementioned acts, statues and laws, including a preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants' continued acts, and an Order directing the surrender of all infringing products for destruction, actual damages, including lost profits, statutory damages, the costs of this action including reasonable attorneys fees, and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just, proper and equitable.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, George G. Harris, a/k/a George G. Harris' Wildlife Collection, is a New Jersey resident with a mailing address of P.O. Box 748, in Englishtown, New Jersey.
- 4. Defendant, OTC, Inc., a/k/a Oriental Trader's Company, is, upon information and belief, an Ohio corporation with its principal place of business being 40 Clairedon Drive, Powell, Ohio 43065, and doing business throughout the United States, including but not limited to the State of Ohio.

- 5. Defendant, Lauren-Spencer, Inc. is, upon information and belief, an Ohio corporation with its principal place of business being 40 Clairedon Drive, Powell, Ohio 43065, and doing business throughout the United States, including but not limited to the State of Ohio.
- 6. Defendants, Shirley Lee and Daniel Lee, upon information and belief, are residents of the State of Ohio with a mailing address of 410 N. Chestnut, Middle Point, Ohio 45863.
- 7. Defendant, Bowen Lee, a/k/a Lee Bowen, upon information and belief, is a resident of the State of Ohio with a mailing address of 410 N. Chestnut, Middle Point, Ohio.
- 8. Defendant, Popphie Lee, upon information and belief, is a resident of the State of Ohio with a mailing address of 410 N. Chestnut, Middle Point, Ohio.

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claim set forth in this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338, 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a). The Plaintiff has made application, sent deposits and registered with the United States Copyright Office, among others, the works listed in Exhibit "A", a copy of which is annexed hereto, and which were issued Copyright Certificates by the United States Copyright Office bearing the following numbers and issuance dates:

ID#	Registration	Date
1	VA 639-339	05/02/94
2	VA 639-340	05/02/94
3	VA 647-908	05/02/94
4	VA 647-909	05/02/94
5	VA 647-910	05/02/94
6	VA 658-253	08/02/94
7	VA 735-381	07/24/95
8	VA 848-116	06/19/97
9	VA 922-536	06/01/98
10	VA 1-104-269	07/03/01
11	VA 1-104-914	07/06/01
12	VA 1-146-169	07/11/02
13	VA 970-157	06/01/99

Case 2:04-cv-00884-MHW-TPK Document 1 Filed 09/14/2004 Page 4 of 16

14 VA 818-144 05/27/97

10. Venue in this action lies within this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400 as it is the residence of Defendants, and as the judicial district within which part of the events, acts or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, including, but not limited to, acts of infringement, and in which Defendants, Lauren-Spencer, Inc., and OTC, Inc. a/k/a Oriental Trader's Company, corporations, and their officers, and the individual Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction.

11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to Rules 4(e) and 4(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure based, inter alia, upon the fact that Defendants may be found within this judicial district.

BACKGROUND

- 12. Plaintiff is engaged in the sculpting, design, manufacture, marketing and sale of original ornamental jewelry designs, which include his singular and distinctive expression of wearable art from his Sportsmen's Wildlife Collection, namely his copyrighted Works depicting various forms of wildlife and animals, among other things, including dog breeds, in pewter ("Works"). Plaintiff's Works were and are at all relevant times hereinafter mentioned, sold in several states throughout the United States including but not limited to the State of Ohio.
- 13. Plaintiff's works are developed through the meticulous sculpturing, molding and casting in various media, including pewter, which express his individualized sportsman's and animal motifs and wildlife images whereby a mold is created and the end product is a cast which replicates the mold in every detail from which duplicates are reproduced and sold as wearable art.
- 14. Details of Plaintiff's Works include, but are not limited to, the unique expression, selection and arrangement of the subject matter of the Works onto jewelry pieces.

- 15. Plaintiff's Works are individual expressions of the wildlife and animals depicted therein, and include the originally designed mold and cast, and convey a unique expression of the Work.
- 16. Plaintiff is, in whole or in part, the author, owner and/or creator of the various Works hereinbefore mentioned, claims ownership to copyright rights in his various Works, is entitled to all of the exclusive rights that inure to the benefit of a copyright holder pursuant to the United States Copyright Act ("Act"), included the rights bestowed by section 106 of the Act, and has taken appropriate measures to protect its copyright and place the public on notice thereof.
- 17. All of Plaintiff's Works were and are sold with copyright notices, and copyright registrations have been obtained for those Works listed in Exhibit "A".
- 18. Plaintiff's Works are and were, at all times hereinbefore mentioned, marketed, advertised and sold primarily through exhibitions, shows, trade shows, the internet, through distributors and in catalogs, by the Plaintiff, its agents, representatives or assigns.
- 19. Over the years, up to and including 2003, Plaintiff has marketed, advertised and sold its Works through various means noted above, including exhibition shows, trade shows, and mail orders from catalogs, to locations, including, but not limited to the State of Ohio.

DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL PRACTICES

- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendants operate a business which markets, advertises and offers for sale, among other things, an exact cast duplicate of Plaintiff's original Works, depicting various forms of animals and wildlife, among other things, throughout the United States, including but not limited to the State of Ohio.
- 21. Upon information and belief, since at least 2004, Defendants have been marketing, advertising and selling copies of Plaintiff's Works, and unauthorized derivative works thereof, in

violation of section 106 of the Act, among others, onto jewelry pieces, without permission, falsely designating the origin of same, having removed Plaintiff's copyright notices during the molding and/or casting process, in violation of the Act.

- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants have marketed, advertised and offered for sale, and have sold illegal copies of the Plaintiff's Works, despite being on notice of their copyrights.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendants are <u>not</u> innocent infringers, and cannot avail themselves of the limitation of damages provision of the Act.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT):

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "23" of this Complaint as more fully set forth herein.
- 25. Plaintiff, who is a citizen of the United States, created, molded and cast original works of art, which depict various forms of wildlife and animals in pewter, and which are marketed, advertised and offered for sale at Plaintiff's shows, on the internet, and through his catalogs, among other ways.
- 26. The aforementioned pewter Works were originally authored by Plaintiff, their agents or assigns, and consist of copyrightable subject matter under the laws of the United States as is evidenced by the Certificates of Copyright issued for said Works.
- 27. At all times hereinbefore mentioned, Plaintiff, in whole or in part, has complied with the Copyright Act and all other laws governing copyrights, and has secured the exclusive rights and privileges in and to the copyrights of said molded and cast Works, and has received from the Registrar of Copyrights, Certificates of Registration for said Works.

63016v1

- 28. At various times, but in any event since 2003, said Works have been published by Plaintiff and all copies of them made by Plaintiff or under authority or license, have been published in strict conformity with the provisions of the Act, and all other laws governing copyright.
- 29. At various times, but in event since at least 2003, Plaintiff has been and still is the owners of all rights, title and interest in and to the copyrights of said Works.
- 30. Defendants have infringed said copyrights by marketing, advertising and placing upon the market various molded and cast ornamental pieces which were, upon information and belief, copied directly from Plaintiff's copyrighted Works.
- 31. The design, production, marketing, advertising, display and/or sale by Defendants of copies of Plaintiff's copyrighted Works constitute infringement of the Works, and through their marketing, advertising, and sales activities, have caused harm and injury to Plaintiff, and constitute violations of Plaintiff's exclusive rights as copyright owner, under section 106 of the Copyright Act, among others, and thus constitute copyright infringement.
- 32. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' infringements were negligent, reckless, knowing and/or willful, and/or represent a calculated attempt to profit from Plaintiff's copyrighted Works, without permission, in that Defendants have continued to infringe upon Plaintiff's copyrights, despite being on notice of the copyrights.
- 33. Defendants have directly copied Plaintiff's Works, or in the alternative, had access to Plaintiff's Works, and the copies are not only substantially similar, but are nearly identical.
- 34. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to the relief available under the Copyright Act, including, but not limited to, actual and/or statutory damages, the costs of this action, including reasonable attorneys fees, and such other and further relief as to this Court seems just, proper and equitable.

63016v1

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (LANHAM ACT VIOLATION):

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "34" as if fully set forth herein.
- 36. At all times herein mentioned, Defendants were and still are engaged in, among other things, direct competition with Plaintiff in the marketing, advertising, manufacture, distribution, and sale of, among other things, ornamental jewelry depicting various forms of animals and wildlife in pewter.
- 37. At various times as hereinbefore mentioned, Plaintiff is the owner, and thus has the right to manufacture, distribute and offer for sale, his original sculpted, molded and cast expressions as embodied in the Works.
- 38. In doing such, Plaintiff's Works have achieved great popularity amongst wildlife and animal enthusiasts, collectors and others through the United States, a large component of whom are concerned with the aesthetic appearance and quality of the jewelry purchased.
- 39. By reason of the tremendous efforts on the parts of the Plaintiff, in advertising, promoting, and achieving unique and artful expression in their Works, Plaintiff has become recognized amongst both the general population and the population of wildlife and animal enthusiasts and collectors, as a distinctive artist of singular craftsmanship and individual designs.
- 40. Plaintiff's unique designs constitute non-functional and protectible trade tress pursuant to the Lanham Act.
- 41. Upon information and belief and at a time and place unknown to Plaintiff, Defendants caused molds to be produced, duplicating the exact cast forms authored by Plaintiff, and has used the molds to manufacture and distribute various cast pieces, (including but not limited to pewter) embodying

Case 2:04-cv-00884-MHW-TPK Document 1 Filed 09/14/2004 Page 9 of 16

the exact original Works of Plaintiffs and proceeded to market, advertise and widely sell, market and distribute its molded and cast pieces, falsely representing them to be original to Defendants, in the State of Ohio and elsewhere.

- 42. Upon information and belief, the duplication above described was accomplished by simply taking Plaintiff's pieces, creating a mold from them, and casting pieces from that mold wherein Defendants made unauthorized copies of Plaintiff's Works that were identical in all respects, except for Defendants' removal of the copyright notice therefrom.
- 43. Upon information and belief, at a time and place unknown to Plaintiff, Defendants used Plaintiff's Works in various other manners, to render copies of said Works.
- 44. The cast pieces produced by Defendants and the Defendants' advertising, marketing and sale of the copies, are injurious to Plaintiff and constitute an appropriation by Defendants of Plaintiff's exclusive rights to manufacture, sell, distribute and otherwise exploit its own original Works, a business asset which Plaintiff created and which was greatly enhanced by Plaintiff's investment of considerable creativity and skill, time and money.
- 45. Defendants' acts constitute unfair competition with Plaintiff, infringement and misappropriation, in that the manufacture of the cast pieces by Defendants are unauthorized and constitutes an unlawful appropriation of Plaintiff's intellectual property rights.
- 46. Similarly, Defendants' acts constitute unfair competition with Plaintiff, in that the advertising, marketing and sale of the copies cast pieces by Defendant falsely designates their origin and originality and deprives the Plaintiff of revenues to which he is entitled on the sale of his specially designed cast pieces.

63016v1

- 47. Defendants' acts constitute false advertising, false designation of origin, unfair competition, the false attribution of authorship, deceptive practices, infringement, and the misappropriation of the efforts of others, namely Plaintiff.
- 48. Unless Defendants are enjoined and restrained from manufacturing and selling copies of Plaintiff's noted Works, Plaintiff's will be irreparably injured and damaged.
 - 49. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 50. In the alternative, Plaintiff is entitled to enhanced and treble damages, costs and attorneys fees, as the acts of Defendants constitute extraordinary circumstances.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (ACCOUNTING):

- 51. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "50" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. As a consequence of Defendants' infringement, unfair competition and other unlawful acts, Plaintiff is entitled to an accounting from the Defendants for all gains, profits and advantages derived by Defendants from its publication, distribution and sale of the infringing pieces, and upon such an accounting, that Defendants pay over to Plaintiff actual and/or statutory damages and/or all such sums derived by way of profits from the publication, distribution, sale and other disposal of such infringing material.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (UNFAIR COMPETITION):

53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "52" of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 54. Upon information and belief and at various times, but in any event prior to 2003, and continuously thereafter, Defendants have been advertising, distributing, selling and otherwise marketing the infringing cast pieces, and have thereby been engaging in unfair and deceptive trade practices by palming off the infringing Works as original Works, and in many respects, by giving credit to individuals characterized as authors, artists and the like, none of whom, at least to the extent of the infringing Works, created such.
- 55. By reason of Defendants' unfair competition, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable damage.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUD/MISREPRESENTATION):

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "55" of this Complaint as fully set forth therein.
- 57. That Defendants had full knowledge, or in the alternative, negligently and/or recklessly should have had full knowledge based upon the circumstances that the cast pieces hereinbefore mentioned were solely the property of Plaintiff.
- 58. That despite such knowledge, Defendants fraudulently advertised and represented that they were the original authors of said Works.
 - 59. That as a result of said misrepresentations, Plaintiff has been irreparably damaged.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (DIRECT MOLDING):

60. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs "1" through "59" of this Complaint as fully set forth herein.

63016v1

28630-1

- 61. That Defendants, without permission of Plaintiff, have created exact copies of Plaintiff's Works by directly molding and casting Plaintiff's Works and thereby infringed on Plaintiff's exclusive right to make copies of his own individual Works.
- 62. That said activity of Defendants is directly proscribed by various state "direct molding" statutes, as previously set forth.
 - 63. That as a result of said activity, Plaintiffs have been irreparably damaged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- (a) Enjoining Defendants, its agents, representatives and all persons acting under them, during the pendency of this action and thereafter permanently, from infringing Plaintiff's copyrights in any manner and from advertising, publishing, licensing, distributing, selling, marketing or otherwise disposing of any copies of said cast pieces containing the infringing original Works of art of Plaintiff, and for actual, statutory, and/or compensatory damages;
- (b) Enjoining Defendant, its agents, representatives and all persons acting under them during the pendency of this action and thereafter permanently from unlawfully offering for sale copies of Plaintiff's Works, to deliver up all copies currently in Defendants' possession to be impounded during the pendency of this action, which are all in the possession and under the control of the Defendants and to deliver up for destruction any molds, casts, or other materials used in the making and publication of such unlawful materials and for actual, statutory and/or compensatory damages;
- (c) An accounting of the profits derived by the Defendants from the advertising, publication, license, distribution, display, or other disposal of Defendants' unlawful Works and upon such accounting that Defendants pay to Plaintiff:
 - 1. Damages provided by statute for Defendants' statutory copyright infringement;
 - 2. Damages provided by any other statutes for Defendants' unlawful conduct; and
 - 3. All monies determined to be profits generated or arising from such unlawful materials;
 - 4. An injunction issued preliminarily to a final hearing and permanently thereafter restraining Defendants, its agents, servants, employees and representatives, and all persons acting in privacy or in concert with them from:

63016vl 28630-1

- (i) Manufacturing, selling, advertising or distributing any copies of Defendants' unlawful Works;
- (ii) In any manner, shape or form using the molds produced for the manufacture of the unlawful work or any part of it in connection with the manufacture, sale, advertising or distribution of any other of Defendants' Works; or
- (iii) Engaging in any acts or making any representations which have the effect of leading the public to believe that the Works sold, manufactured, distributed or advertised by Defendants are in any manner, shape or form connected or related to Defendants.
- 5. Defendants recall from the possession of any dealers, wholesalers, jobbers and all others all copies of said Works, and directing that they be destroyed or delivered to Plaintiffs;
- 6. Defendants turn over all molds or other masters used in the manufacture of said unlawful Works to Plaintiffs for destruction;
- 7. After final hearing, damages be awarded to the Plaintiffs against the Defendants in such an amount as found proper and deemed due and owing from Defendants to Plaintiffs;
- 8. After final hearing, Defendants be required to account to Plaintiffs for any profits derived by Defendants from the acts complained of by Plaintiffs in this Complaint;
- 9. Plaintiffs be awarded the costs and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorneys fees.
- (d) That Defendants pay general damages to the Plaintiff in an amount to be assessed by Court by reason of Defendants' fraud/misrepresentation, and that Defendants pay to Plaintiff punitive damages, all costs and expenses of this action including reasonable attorneys fees to be assessed by the Court;
- (e) For such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just, proper and equitable.

Case 2:04-cv-00884-MHW-TPK

Document 1

Filed 09/14/2004

Page 14 of 16

DATED: September 14, 2004.

DINSMORE AND SHOHL

By:

William M. Mattes, Esq. (0040465) Trial Attorney Michael J. King (0072597) Dinsmore & Shohl 175 S. Third Street, Suite 1000 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Attorneys for Defendants

Of Counsel:

Michael A. Oropallo, Esq.
N.Y. Bar Roll No. 505267
Douglas J. Nash, Esq.
N.Y. Bar Roll No. 511889
HISCOCK & BARCLAY, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Address and P.O. Box
Financial Plaza
221 South Warren Street
Syracuse, New York 13221-4878
(315) 425.2831

Case 2:04-cv-00884-MHW-TPK Document 1 Filed 09/14/2004 Page 15 of 16

EXHIBIT "A"

NON-EXCLUSIVE LIST OF INFRINGING ITEMS

1.	870A	AFGHAN
2.	859	AIREDALE
3.	464C	AKITA
4.	460A	AMSTAFF
· 5.	858	AUSTRALIAN CATTLE DOG
6.	867	AUSTRALIAN SHEPHERD
7.	879	BASENJI
8.	855	BASSETT HOUND
9.	453	BEAGLE
10.	872	BERNESE MT DOG
11.	856	BICHON FRISE
12.	453C	BLOODHOUND
13.	854	BORDER COLLIE
14.	870	BORZOI
15.	- 852	BOSTON TERRIER
16.	463	BOXER
17.	455D	BOYKIN SPANIEL
18.	455	BRITTANY
19.	460C	BULL TERRIER
20.	463A	BULLDOG
21.	877	CAIRN TERRIER
22.	455C	CAVALIER KING CHARLES
23.	869	CHESAPEAKE
24.	860	CHIHUAHUA
25.	880	CHINESE CRESTED
26.	464	CHOW
27.	455A	COCKER SPANIEL
28.	455E	COCKER (PET)
29.	458C	COLLIE
30.	453B.	COON HOUND
31.	866	CORGI
32.	462	DACHSHUND
33.	456A	DALMATIAN
34.	459	DOBERMAN
35.	456	ENGLISH POINTER
36.	457	ENGLISH SETTER
37.	457E	ENGLISH SETTER (TAIL UP)
38.	452	GERMAN SHEPHERD
39.	451	GERMAN SHORTHAIR
40.	454	GOLDEN RETRIEVER
41.	463B	GREAT DANE
42.	453A	GREYHOUND

43.	464A	HUSKY
44.	457A	IRISH SETTER
45.	870C	IRISH WOLFHOUND
46.	461C	JACK RUSSELL
47.	450	LABRADOR (SITTING)
48.	450A	LABRADOR (STANDING)
49.	450B	LABRADOR (HEAD)
50.	450C	LABRADOR & DUCK
51.	857	LHASA APSO
52.	464D	MALAMUTE
53.	865	MALTESE
54.	865A	MALTESE (PET)
55.	463C	MASTIFF
56.	871	NEWFOUNDLAND
57.	870B	NORWEGIAN ELKHOUND
58.	868	OLD ENGLISH SHEEP DOG
59.	881	PAPILLON
60.	853	PEKINESE
61.	460B	PIT BULL
62.	851	POMERANIAN
63.	461A	POODLE (SHOW CLIP)
64.	461D	POODLE (PUPPY CLIP)
65.	864	PORTUGUESE WATER DOG
66.	861	PUG
67.	873	RHODESIAN RIDGEBACK
68.	460	ROTTWEILER
69.	871A	SAINT BERNARD
70.	464B	SAMOYED
71.	878	SCHIPPERKE
72.	459	SCHNAUZER
73.	461B	SCOTTY
74.	458A	SHAR PEI
75.	457	SHELTIE
76.	862	SHIH TZU
77.	862A	SHIH TZU (PET)
78.	874	SILKY TERRIER
79.	876	SKYE TERRIER
80.	455B	SPRINGER SPANIEL
81.	451B	VISZLA
82.	451A	WEIMARANER
83.	863	WESTIE
84.	875	WHEATEN TERRIER
85.	453D	WHIPPET
86.	859A	WIRE FOX TERRIER
87.	850	YORKIE
88.	850A	YORKIE (PET)