

Affirmation of Karen Mitchell Archipley formally known as Karen Indreland

1. Have you been involved in any election legal cases in Ohio? Not me personally but I helped raise money to help with my Dem Club

2. When was this? December 2004

3. What is the name of that case? Moss v. Bush and Moss v. Moyer

4. Were Clifford Arnebeck and Bob Fitrakis attorneys in that case? YES

5. Did you fundraise or donate for legal expenses for that case? YES

6. How much money was given for the case? APPROX \$25,000


7. Did you request to know how the money was spent, and/or ask for an accounting of how the money was spent?

YES, But we did not hear any response. Communication just dropped.

I affirm to the best of my knowledge the above is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: May 8, 2009

Signature:



Karen Mitchell-Archipley, aka Karen Indreland
10085 W. Lilac Road, Escondido, CA 92026 760-670-5490

--- On Mon, 1/10/05, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fwd: Moss v. Bush dismissed, etc.
To: "Paul Newman" <thepaulman@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, January 10, 2005, 12:16 PM

Gail Jonas <gejonas@sonic.net> wrote:

From: "Gail Jonas"
To: "Karen Indreland"
Subject: Moss v. Bush dismissed, etc.
Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2005 08:24:04 -0800

Karen,

1. The attorneys for Moss v. Bush have collected the plaintiffs' signatures and are dismissing the suit. Evan Davis is working on regrouping with Lillian's help. He has good organizational skills, so I'm optimistic. Ray Beckerman's weblog is available to post all stuff relating to evidence of voting irregularities and new litigation.

2. Reread Lillian's e-mail, and she did talk with Peter. However, it doesn't sound like he's running the show.

3. I was really tired last night when I called you. I have your address as 973 Lake St., Venice, CA 90291. If I made a mistake, please let me know. Otherwise, no need to respond.

Fondly,

Gail

--- On Thu, 3/10/05, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Fwd: report from Cliff?
To: "Nancy Price" <nancytprice@juno.com>
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2005, 9:14 PM

Hi Nancy,

I know, I heard the rain was tremendous, which is my least favorite driving conditions. Colin and I were in Seattle, where it was beautiful and sunny.... how's that for a contradiction. As for the accounting, I'm happy to do it that way. and having said that, the money was sent directly to him, and we kept the Alliance name out, so we did not entangle you further, but I understand his name is in direct correlation with the alliance, but at some point in the future, I would love to know more about the alliance and help do a fund raiser for your other issues.

The election reform movement is making great strides, I am just now getting back involved. I head up a Michael Moore Meet-up and next week we are fortunate to have Sheri Myers as our guest speaker, perhaps as the meet up grows again, we could have you come. We'll have to work towards that, as I let the meet up dwindle down, just out of exhaustion from the election. yet the next election is 2006 and I want to work towards having our votes counted to get these dangerous people out of the Congress and Senate. So I'm back in the saddle.

Well, I'll compose an email tomorrow and CC you. Thanks for your assistance.

Best wishes,

Karen Indreland

Nancy Price <nancytprice@juno.com> wrote:

Hi Back,
How are you with your travelling and catching up?

If you and your group still wish to have an accounting. I think that a

good move might be to email Cliff again and cc me on the same email, since I am Co-Chair of the Alliance for Democracy and so this should be of interest to me, right?!!! Then, I will have the knowledge that this needs to be done and I don't just know it by hearsay. I have my own similar problems.

We did have out in -person council meeting in the San Fernando Valley the weekend of Feb. 17 - 19, but you may remember it was the weekend of terrific rain. Cliff did come to that meeting and he ended up meeting with two people, but I know that he let drop meeting with anyone in your group....I don't know why.

I didn't contact you because it was a very very busy weekend, and then I wanted to see my son and take him and his apartment mates out to dinner on Sunday and then drive back to Davis on Monday. Sunday night driving from Northridge to Hollywood, where Andrew is in some 1950s pink stucco palace just north of Hollywood Ave. up some winding street...was one of the most terrifying drives on a freeway in rain that I have ever experienced... getting passed by Hummer's and SUV who didn't care a fig about who they were passing and these waves of water would wash over my nice new little Prius...

So a face to face will have to wait...

Nancy

At 08:48 PM 3/10/2005, you wrote:

Hi Nancy,

How are you? Thanks for asking, no I have not received an accounting, nor have we ever gotten a call back regarding coming here etc. He just kind of disappeared. Did you make it to Los Angeles?

If you can assist in getting an accounting that would be great. Or let me know how I should proceed best to achieve that.

Nice to see your email.

Karen Indreland
310-396-0479

Nancy Price <nancytprice@juno.com> wrote:

Hi Karen, have you had a report from Cliff to itemize the
\$25,000 you raised?
Nancy

--- On Fri, 3/11/05, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Elections
To: "Nancy Price" <nancytprice@juno.com>
Date: Friday, March 11, 2005, 9:28 AM

Sounds good to me. I will cc you, but keep you separate in all accounting language, however I am happy to share the information when it comes in.

Trade agreements are definately in need of a closer look. I will be happy to organize something here, when we can afford to bring you.

highest regards,

Karen

Nancy Price <nancytprice@juno.com> wrote:

Yes, there was a big Teach-In in Oakland last weekend.
Looks like there is a great deal going on with election reform. I just hope that it really does lead to real reform that IS fair and honest.
Seems to me there is still quite a bit of sorting out to take place, esp. on the machines and type of voting.....the whole electronic side of things...

Maybe, on second thought, since you kept the Alliance out of the solicitation, I , we, shouldn't bring it in now, only to the extent that you cc me on a note to Cliff. It could be good that he knows that I know there are some questions, but not make it too public...does that make sense?

As for coming down to speak sometime.... we can talk about it..my current focus in on the trade agreements and how they are undemocratic and will have serious impacts on local city and county sovereignty and representative government..the theme is that while we work hard to have fair and honest elections at local, state and national levels, the

provisions of the trade agreements can be used to challenge these laws (initiatives, ordinances) we have voted for or our elected representatives have to protect public health, planning/zoning and land-use, prevent sprawl, create affordable housing, etc.

Nancy

At 09:15 PM 3/10/2005, you wrote:

>Sheri Myers of CitizenAct and Code Pink. They just held the teach in.

>

>K

--- On Fri, 5/8/09, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fw: Raw information to be looked over, from Peter
To: "Paddy Shaffer" <paddy@columbus.rr.com>
Date: Friday, May 8, 2009, 6:55 AM

--- On Sat, 12/25/04, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Raw information to be looked over, from Peter
To: "Dan Ashby" <dan@redefeatbush.com>, "Gail Jonas" <gejonas@sonic.net>, "Rebecca Lobl" <rebeccalobl@earthlink.net>
Date: Saturday, December 25, 2004, 9:33 PM

d753@earthlink.net wrote:

Date: Sat, 25 Dec 2004 19:32:41 -0800 (PST)
From: d753@earthlink.net
To: fashionmatrix@yahoo.com
Subject: as requested

Karen,

Merry Christmas.

As per your request, the text indicated in quotation marks below is for immediate dissemination.

Please e-mail a copy of the text as posted/disseminated by your group to me (d753@earthlink.net), Bob Fittrakis (truth@freepress.org), and Cliff (Arnebeck@aol.com).

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me via e-mail or telephone.

Our thanks to you and your colleagues for all of your assistance.

Text follows:

"The raw data from the national exit poll taken November 2, 2004, is being

sought as key evidence in the contests of the Presidential election in Ohio and the election for Chief Justice of the Ohio Supreme Court. A link to an article about the networks' refusal to provide the data to Rep. John Conyers or the networks' viewers appears below.

In seeking this evidence, Peter Peckarsky, the lead trial counsel for the contestors in both contests, has spoken by telephone in the last few days with both Warren Mitofsky, the director of the national and statewide exit polls, and a representative of one of the networks which sponsored the polls. While both Mr. Mitofsky and the network (on behalf of all organizations sponsoring the exit polls) refused to provide the data or to appear for a deposition without a court order, Mr. Peckarsky has received assurances from a network senior vice president that the evidence (the exit poll data) is secure and will not be altered in any way or destroyed. Mr. Peckarsky confirmed the receipt of these assurances in writing to the network executive on December 23. Mr. Peckarsky and the legal team will pursue available legal means to obtain the data (and necessary deposition testimony). The tools available to Mr. Peckarsky (i.e., subpoenas) are more powerful than those currently available to Rep. Conyers as the Ranking Minority Member of the House Judiciary Committee. The legal team and the contestors appreciate your financial support which is urgently needed if this information (and other information) is to be obtained. The contest of the Chief Justice's election is important because the Chief Justice is, by statute, the presiding judge in charge of all statewide election contests (other than those involving his own election) in Ohio (for example any contests in 2006 and any contest of the Presidential election in Ohio in 2008). The Chief Justice, while subject to a pending motion to disqualify due to the appearance of a conflict of interest, is nevertheless still technically presiding over the current contest of the 2004 Presidential election.

The article

(at <http://www.solarbus.org/stealyourelection/articles/1222-media.html>) raises several issues: With the growing body of evidence concerning election fraud in our past presidential election, one question has remained: Why don't we hear about this on the evening news? What are the networks hiding from us and why?"

Best,

Peter

--- On Fri, 12/17/04, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Fwd: Final Letter for Lawyers re: Ohio Election Contest Lawsuit Seeks Funds and Attorney Assistance
To: gellman@wgglaw.com, "Peter Peckarsky" <d753@earthlink.net>
Date: Friday, December 17, 2004, 11:38 AM

This letter sent to Cliff, so we do not know if it is approved or not. Karen

Hello, Please review and feel free to revise as necessary, although we are trying to keep legalese out for the most part. We look forward to your returning this letter on your letterhead, and although it was Rebecca's and my honor to help with this, This letter is from you.

Please return at your earliest convenience,

Karen Indreland

Dear Concerned Citizen:

We are writing to you directly to explain the work that we have undertaken and ask you to help us in whatever way you can to ensure that the Ohio vote is accurately counted.

On Monday, 12/13, we filed a lawsuit against Bush, Cheney, Rove and others on behalf of 44 Ohioans alleging that Bush won the Ohio election as a result of fraud and that Kerry actually won the Ohio vote by 142,537 votes. Specifically, the complaint alleges

that ballot boxes were stuffed with fraudulent absentee ballots while other legitimate ballots were destroyed and tabulating machines were fraudulently manipulated to affect the outcome of the election. Our law suit provides us with the means to gather evidence relating to the fraud that we have alleged. Only by establishing the fraud, will we be able to ensure an accurate count of only those ballots legitimately cast.

As you are aware, also on Monday, 12/13, the Ohio recount requested by the Green Party, began and is expected to be completed as early as 12/22. Though we believe the recount should be done, we believe it cannot expose the fraud that we have alleged and therefore will not expose the actions taken by the Defendants which affected the outcome of the election. Whereas, the recount can only count the ballots that the Boards of Elections has in hand, the law suit can expose the fraud that resulted in extra and destroyed ballots and machine manipulation. Thus, this lawsuit can uncover what the recount cannot, and therefore is critical to exposing the whole picture of fraud in Ohio.

We sincerely hope that our lawsuit will demonstrate by January 6, 2005, the day on which Congress counts the electoral votes, a level of fraud which resulted in wrongly securing the election for Bush. We believe that even if we are delayed beyond January 6th, the ultimate result of this suit will be to uncover a level of fraud which cannot be ignored and will ultimately result in proving that Kerry won the election in Ohio and therefore the presidency.

To read the complaint go to

<http://joeorgren.com/MossvBush1.pdf>

To watch a C-SPAN interview with Cliff Arnebeck cut and paste this link into your internet browser:

rtsp://video.c-span.org/project/c04/c04_wj120204_arnebeck.rm

We have estimated that the suit will cost at least \$200,000 to fully pursue. This includes filing fees already spent and minimal attorneys' fees. If you are interested in ensuring that the opportunities presented by this lawsuit are fully explored, please make a donation to 'Ohio Election Litigation Fund' and mail it to *Cliff Arnebeck, Esq., 1351 King Avenue, 1st Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43212*, along with your email address. Any money donated to the fund will be held in trust and be used only for the purposes of this law suit. We will provide each and every donor with regular email updates regarding the progress of the lawsuit. There is no contribution too small and we thank you for your participation in this effort.

In addition, we are asking for lawyers to join our team. We need 10 litigators and 20-30 additional attorneys to assist in discovery efforts in Ohio. If you are an attorney and are interested in working on this case, please contact Gail Jonas who has agreed to coordinate this effort. Gail can be emailed at gejonas@sonic.net or call her at 707 433 6845

or 707 431 8451.

We thank you for your support.

Sincerely,

Cliff Arnebeck

--- On Thu, 3/10/05, fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com> wrote:

From: fashionmatrix <fashionmatrix@yahoo.com>
Subject: Re: Fwd: report from Cliff?
To: "Nancy Price" <nancytprice@juno.com>
Date: Thursday, March 10, 2005, 8:48 PM

Hi Nancy,

How are you? Thanks for asking, no I have not received an accounting, nor have we ever gotten a call back regarding coming here etc. He just kind of disappeared. Did you make it to Los Angeles?

If you can assist in getting an accounting that would be great. Or let me know how I should proceed best to achieve that.

Nice to see your email.

Karen Indreland

310-396-0479

Nancy Price <nancytprice@juno.com> wrote:

Hi Karen, have you had a report from Cliff to itemize the \$25,000 you raised?
Nancy