

1 SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
 ARTHUR J. SHARTSIS (Bar #51549)
 2 ashartsis@sflaw.com
 MARY JO SHARTSIS (Bar #55194)
 3 mjshartsis@sflaw.com
 ROBERT E. SCHABERG (Bar #81430)
 4 rschaberg@sflaw.com
 SIMONE M. KATZ-O'NEILL (Bar #246490)
 5 skatz@sflaw.com
 One Maritime Plaza, Eighteenth Floor
 6 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: (415) 421-6500
 7 Facsimile: (415) 421-2922

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 SKYRIVER TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS, LLC
 9 and INNOVATIVE INTERFACES, INC.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
 ONE MARITIME PLAZA
 EIGHTEENTH FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 9 4111

14 SKYRIVER TECHNOLOGY
 SOLUTIONS, LLC, a California limited
 15 liability company, and INNOVATIVE
 INTERFACES, INC., a California
 16 corporation,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 OCLC ONLINE COMPUTER LIBRARY
 CENTER, INC., an Ohio corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No. C 10-03305 JSW

**DECLARATION OF LESLIE STRAUS IN
 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
 MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE TO
 THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

Date: October 29, 2010
 Time: 9:00 a.m.
 Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
 Crtrm: 11, 19th Floor

Complaint Filed: July 28, 2010
 Trial Date: None

1 I, LESLIE STRAUS, declare:

2 1. I am the President of Plaintiff SkyRiver Technology Solutions, LLC (“SkyRiver”),
3 a California limited liability company. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and
4 if sworn to testify would and could competently testify thereto.

5 2. SkyRiver has had its headquarters in Emeryville, California, since it was organized
6 as a California limited liability company in 2009. All corporate and transactional records and
7 related documents, software and servers are maintained in Emeryville at its headquarters. I have
8 personal knowledge of the conduct and events described in the Complaint that have harmed
9 SkyRiver.

10 3. Exhibit A is a list of witnesses who are knowledgeable about the conduct of OCLC
11 described in the Complaint and the anticompetitive effects of that conduct on libraries and on
12 SkyRiver. I have prepared this list of witnesses in consultation with executives and employees of
13 SkyRiver and Innovative Interfaces, Inc. (“Innovative”) who have knowledge of the facts
14 underlying the claims asserted in the Complaint. The testimony of the witnesses listed is material
15 to the claims of both SkyRiver and Innovative. Other witnesses, including specific
16 representatives of some libraries, have not yet been identified by name.

17 4. I have reviewed the Declaration of Bruce Crocco and OCLC’s Motion to Transfer
18 Venue and Memorandum in Support of the Motion. Mr. Crocco identifies three witnesses at
19 Michigan State University (“MSU”) whose treatment by OCLC caused harm to MSU and has
20 caused wide ranging harm to SkyRiver. Each of these witnesses was contacted by telephone—
21 Cliff Haka, Nancy Fleck and Colleen Hyslop—and asked whether he or she would be willing to
22 appear at trial in San Francisco, California. All said that they were willing to testify in San
23 Francisco and then emailed their confirmations. A copy of each witness’s confirmation is
24 attached hereto as Exhibit B.

25 5. Mr. Crocco has not identified any SkyRiver or Innovative witnesses on his witness
26 list. The SkyRiver and Innovative employees and executives who have evidence regarding the
27 claims alleged, and are expected to testify at trial, are identified on Exhibit A.

28 6. OCLC’s treatment of MSU and California State University, Long Beach (“CSU

1 Long Beach”) has affected libraries throughout the State of California, including CSU Chico and
2 CSU San Jose (and libraries throughout the United States). OCLC’s punitive price quotations and
3 the uncertainty it has created over the availability of interlibrary lending have resulted in many
4 libraries being forced to continue with OCLC’s higher priced cataloging service instead of taking
5 advantage of SkyRiver’s lower cost service.

6 7. Mr. Crocco does not identify any witnesses in California whose testimony will be
7 important to the claims asserted, even though he acknowledges that OCLC’s treatment of CSU
8 Long Beach is one of the significant events alleged in the Complaint. The University Librarian at
9 CSU Long Beach and other CSU witnesses have relevant and material testimony that will be
10 critical at trial and are identified on Exhibit A.

11 8. The travel time noted on Exhibit A was derived from the Apollo General
12 Reservations System, a well-known resource used by major airlines. The information was
13 provided by the company’s travel coordinator at my request. Travel time is estimated for one-
14 way travel on the basis of the longer time whether flying from Ohio or to Ohio or from San
15 Francisco or to San Francisco without taking into account travel time to or from the airport,
16 unless that time exceeds 1 hour.

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
18 foregoing is true and correct.

19 DATED: September 27, 2010 By: /s/ Leslie Straus
20 LESLIE STRAUS

21 **ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 § X(B)**

22 The ECF registered attorney, by virtue of his/her electronic filing of this document, attests
23 that in concurrence with the filing of this document, original signatures have been obtained from
24 each of the signatories named herein.

25 DATED: September 27, 2010 By: /s/ Mary Jo Shartsis
26 MARY JO SHARTSIS

27 5556\005\1673256.2

28