

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**MARK EDWARD HURST,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**Case No.: 2:11-cv-1090  
JUDGE SMITH  
Magistrate Judge King**

**OHIO DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION  
AND CORRECTION, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**ORDER**

On October 3, 2014, the United States Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* recommending that Plaintiff's Motion to Stay the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's Objections to the August 7, 2014 Report and Recommendation be denied. The parties were specifically advised of their right to object to the *Report and Recommendation* and of the consequences of their failure to do so. There has nevertheless been no objection to the *Report and Recommendation*.

The *Report and Recommendation* is hereby **ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**. Plaintiff's Motion to Stay (Doc. 117) is **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*/s/ George C. Smith*  
**GEORGE C. SMITH, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**